International Telecommunication Union

ITU-R
Radiocommunication Sector of ITU

Recommendation ITU-R F.106-2
(05/1999)

The use of diversity for voice-frequency telegraphy on HF radio circuits

F Series
Fixed service

Foreword

The role of the Radiocommunication Sector is to ensure the rational, equitable, efficient and economical use of the radio-frequency spectrum by all radiocommunication services, including satellite services, and carry out studies without limit of frequency range on the basis of which Recommendations are adopted.

The regulatory and policy functions of the Radiocommunication Sector are performed by World and Regional Radiocommunication Conferences and Radiocommunication Assemblies supported by Study Groups.

Policy on Intellectual Property Right (IPR)

ITU-R policy on IPR is described in the Common Patent Policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC referenced in Annex 1 of Resolution ITU-R 1. Forms to be used for the submission of patent statements and licensing declarations by patent holders are available from http://www.itu.int/ITU-R/go/patents/en where the Guidelines for Implementation of the Common Patent Policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC and the ITU-R patent information database can also be found.

<table>
<tr><td colspan="2" align="center">**Series of ITU-R Recommendations**
(Also available online at http://www.itu.int/publ/R-REC/en)</td></tr>
<tr><td>**Series**</td><td align="center">**Title**</td></tr>
<tr><td>**BO**</td><td>Satellite delivery</td></tr>
<tr><td>**BR**</td><td>Recording for production, archival and play-out; film for television</td></tr>
<tr><td>**BS**</td><td>Broadcasting service (sound)</td></tr>
<tr><td>**BT**</td><td>Broadcasting service (television)</td></tr>
<tr><td>**F**</td><td>**Fixed service**</td></tr>
<tr><td>**M**</td><td>Mobile, radiodetermination, amateur and related satellite services</td></tr>
<tr><td>**P**</td><td>Radiowave propagation</td></tr>
<tr><td>**RA**</td><td>Radio astronomy</td></tr>
<tr><td>**RS**</td><td>Remote sensing systems</td></tr>
<tr><td>**S**</td><td>Fixed-satellite service</td></tr>
<tr><td>**SA**</td><td>Space applications and meteorology</td></tr>
<tr><td>**SF**</td><td>Frequency sharing and coordination between fixed-satellite and fixed service systems</td></tr>
<tr><td>**SM**</td><td>Spectrum management</td></tr>
<tr><td>**SNG**</td><td>Satellite news gathering</td></tr>
<tr><td>**TF**</td><td>Time signals and frequency standards emissions</td></tr>
<tr><td>**V**</td><td>Vocabulary and related subjects</td></tr>
</table>

Note: *This ITU-R Recommendation was approved in English under the procedure detailed in Resolution ITU-R 1.*

Electronic Publication
Geneva, 2010

RECOMMENDATION ITU-R F.106-2*

The use of diversity for voice-frequency telegraphy on HF radio circuits

(1953-1970-1999)

Scope

This Recommendation deals with the use of diversity reception for telegraphy systems at frequencies below 30 MHz. The annex addresses the use of coding diversity.

The ITU Radiocommunication Assembly,

considering

a)   that, when voice-frequency equipment is used on radio circuits at frequencies lower than about 30 MHz, the quality of these circuits will, in general, be insufficient if no means of diversity reception is provided;

b)   that, in the presence of fading, space, polarization or frequency diversity gives comparable improvements in the quality of reception of telegraph signals transmitted over radio channels;

c)   that, for adequate frequency diversity, it appears necessary that the frequencies which are used in combination to obtain this diversity should differ by at least 400 Hz;

d)   that space or polarization diversity needs only half the bandwidth and less power for each telegraph channel, as compared with frequency diversity, but usually requires more equipment,

recommends

1   that, when voice-frequency telegraph systems are used on radio circuits at frequencies lower than about 30 MHz, diversity reception should be used on the individual voice-frequency channels;

2   that, whenever practicable, space or, possibly, polarization diversity should be used in preference to frequency diversity;

3   that, for frequency diversity, the channel frequencies used in combination should have a separation of at least 400 Hz so that adequate diversity effects may be obtained;

4   that reference should be made to Annex 1 for additional information concerning diversity techniques.

---

*   Radiocommunication Study Group 5 made editorial amendments to this Recommendation in December 2009 in accordance with Resolution ITU-R 1.

Annex 1

Use of coding diversity

1 Introduction

There is a need for HF data transmission systems to provide reliable service in an efficient manner with multi-tone frequency shift keying (FSK) modems, as described in this Recommendation, or multi-tone phase shift keying (PSK) modems, as described in Recommendation ITU-R F.763. To compensate for the unfavourable nature of the selective fading phenomenon of the transmission medium, in-band or other frequency diversity techniques are widely utilized.

This Annex describes a coding technique that improves the in-band frequency diversity system.

2 System description

The transmission scheme is shown in Fig. 1. The output $m(t)$ from a binary information source is fed into an encoder shift register of length K. After each shift of the register at the source data rate, the encoder generates two code bits, $c_1(t)$ and $c_2(t)$, which in turn drive corresponding data modulators. In practice, the centre frequency separation of these data modulators is usually about 1 kHz. The combined output of the modulators is then fed into an HF single-sideband (SSB) transmission system.

In frequency diversity operation, the system of Fig. 1 assumes its simplest form. The code bits are simply replicas of the information bit, i.e. $c_1(t) = c_2(t) = m(t)$. The decision on the value of a given information bit is based on the combined value of the outputs of the two demodulators. From an information theory context, frequency diversity can be described as a rate-half repetition coding technique that uses soft decisions.

In frequency diversity transmission, only two code bits contain information about any given information bit. With non-zero probability, both of these bits can be corrupted simultaneously by fading, interference or noise so that an incorrect decision is made on the information bit. When this occurs, there is no possibility of correcting the error by using the values of the other code bits. It therefore appears desirable to encode the information sequence such that more than a single pair of code bits is related to any given information bit. The system of Fig. 1 does this by mapping the information sequence prior to transmission.

FIGURE 1

General diversity structure

0106-01

Any type of rate-half error correcting code could be used in coded frequency diversity transmission, but convolutional codes are particularly suitable because their encoder structure fits the structure of frequency diversity transmission systems, and the Viterbi algorithm can be used efficiently to carry out soft-decision decoding. The outputs of the demodulators are fed to *A/D* converters in a Viterbi decoder which replaces the combining operation of the frequency diversity system.

3   Experimental results

An on-air performance comparison of frequency diversity and coding diversity has been made. Convolutional codes of constraint length $K = 5$ and 7 were chosen, and the output of the encoder was fed into a multi-tone FSK modulator using centre frequencies 1 105 and 2 125 Hz with ±42.5 Hz shift. The data rate of each synchronous channel was 75 bit/s. The eye signal from each demodulator was digitized by a sample taken from the centre of the eye period. The HF radio equipment used included a 100 W transmitter, broadband antennas, and a synthesized communications receiver. Maximal ratio combining was used for the diversity reception experiments. A real-time Viterbi decoder, implemented in software with an 8-bit general purpose microprocessor, was used for the coding experiments.

Three series of on-air tests were conducted from Ottawa: a short-range test over a distance of 60 km, which had a weak groundwave component, a medium-range distance of 400 km to Toronto, and a third test from a ship operating off the east coast of Canada. The ship travelled from Quebec City to the High Arctic, allowing tests to be carried out over distances ranging from about 400 to 2 500 km. During the latter part of this test period, the HF link traversed the auroral belt and rapid fading was often present. The short and medium distance experiments were conducted using various frequencies in the 3-9 MHz range and the ship experiments were conducted in the 5-15 MHz frequency bands.

The error patterns with frequency diversity and coding diversity were analysed. It was observed that both sets of data exhibited the burstiness characteristic of the HF channel, however in the case of diversity, transition between bursts and periods of lower error ratios were gradual. The errors were random much of the time, with frequent isolated single errors. The data from the coding diversity system had dense bursts with relatively abrupt beginning and end, longer error-free gaps, and an absence of single and double errors. The bursts tended to be longer than those in the diversity system. After a long burst the decoder requires some time to recover, thus the bit error ratio (BER) in the decoded sequence actually may be higher than that in the in-band frequency diversity system. This is not the case for the block error ratio (BLER) performance.

This system is intended to be used in an automatic repeat request (ARQ) protocol environment which precludes the use of interleaving or time diversity. These schemes have been shown to result in improvements in the BER, but they require delays corresponding to the transmission of the order of several hundred bits. In block transmissions, blocks are rejected due to single or multiple errors which is the case for frequency diversity combining, but in coding diversity the block rejection is reduced by the reduction of isolated errors. The tests were done for block sizes of 128 and 512 bits, which are typical for a system that is going to utilize coding diversity.

The BLERs of the two techniques were compared and are shown in Table 1. The table includes the percentage increase in probability of receiving an error-free block for the coding technique versus frequency diversity. The improvement obtained varied from good to insignificant, and a larger improvement for the 512-bit block size is observed. In some instances the in-band frequency diversity transmission was virtually error-free itself, and thus there was little room for improvement; in other cases, the channel was so poor that neither system provided a usable error ratio. It was observed that in no instance was the performance of the convolutional coding significantly worse than that of the in-band frequency diversity system.

TABLE 1

Experimental BLER results

a) Block size = 128 (bits)

Test number	Constraint length	Diversity BLER	Coding BLER	Total bits	Throughput improvement (%)
1	$K = 7$	0.293	0.201	1 430 000	13.0
2	$K = 7$	0.217	0.127	506 000	11.5
3	$K = 5$	0.321	0.227	352 000	13.8
4	$K = 7$	0.084	0.015	217 000	7.5
5	$K = 7$	0.083	0.019	217 000	6.5

b) Block size = 512 (bits)

Test number	Constraint length	Diversity BLER	Coding BLER	Total bits	Throughput improvement (%)
6	$K = 7$	0.548	0.406	1 430 000	31.4
7	$K = 7$	0.378	0.223	506 000	24.9
8	$K = 5$	0.570	0.420	352 000	34.9

4 Implementation considerations

The coding technique described in this Annex has a number of practical limitations and it will not replace a general purpose frequency diversity combiner in all applications. For example, it is incompatible with asynchronous data transmissions systems.

However, it is potentially useful with ARQ systems using synchronous transmissions provided that the transmissions are not so short that the improvement in throughput is nullified by the increase in overhead bits required for proper operation of the Viterbi decoder. The overhead is four times K bits (where K is the constraint length) needed at the beginning of the transmission, plus there is a postamble of $(K - 1)$ bits at the end of the transmission.

5 Conclusions

An error control scheme based on convolutionally coded frequency diversity has been tested and compared to in-band frequency diversity data transmission. Experimental results show that this system has better BLER performance than in-band frequency diversity systems. The coding technique is suitable for systems that presently use frequency diversity in combination with a synchronous ARQ protocol.