

#### CEPT Workshop on Spectrum for Drones / UAS Copenhagen 29 - 30 May 2018

# Summary, Outcome, APs for ongoing activities

Presented by Thomas Weber (ECO) at the ITU Regional Seminar for CIS & Europe "Development of modern radiocommunication ecosystems", 6 to 8 June 2018, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation



Key elements / expected action points for ongoing ECC activities:

Which spectrum options to be used and under which conditions? The workshop should provide clear guidance.

- Other areas of work in ECC are related (e.g. PMSE, SRD, MFCN, ITS).
- Questionnaire in 2015, ECC Report 268 published in 02/2018.
- Possible harmonisation benefits could be based on
  - Foster common market / market harmonisation
  - Cross-border operation
  - Unlicensed frequency bands conditions do not always fit (emission limits too low)
  - WG FM CG Drones working on 'document on frequency options'.



Key elements / expected action points for ongoing ECC activities (cont.):

#### Issues:

- Suitable bands (CC and payload)?
- New harmonisation measures?
- Or use of existing opportunities?
- Satellites, radars?
- Commercial / governmental usage of drones
- Use cases, technical requirements



#### Impact of EASA regulation:

- Compliance with technical requirements needed.
- State operations can opt-in.
- Some flexibility left to member states.
- Open categories only VLOS, max 120 m height, max 25 kg.
- Specific category: Standard scenarios to be developed. Big market potential for new drone related services.
- Implementing Act (operational conditions) and Delegated Act (technical conditions) - National authorities can have specific exceptions on a national level.
- Solutions for electronic ID, geo-awareness etc. to be addressed in standardisation and will require most likely a harmonised management (cross-border operation). This is linked to U-Space. The impact in terms of spectrum harmonisation is yet unclear.



#### Impact of EASA regulation (cont.):

- RE-D will apply to open and specific categories.
- Work towards an European 'Drones/UAS network' of demonstrators.
- Certified category
  - Frequencies for certified RPAS are expected in bands allocated to AM(R)S and AMS(R)S (ICAO RPAS Panel) – the panel works on frequencies and existing solution in AM(R)S, such as in 5030 – 5091 MHz.
- Following ECC Report 268 and discussions at the workshop, the focus on drones in the open categories and specific category seems appropriate.



# Use of MFCN

- Work towards a new ECC Report in ECC PT1
  - Potential issues: how to operate drones in MFCN, impact on networks, impact on other operators, handover, reliable coverage (optimisations necessary), roaming, connection to many BS.
  - Timeline may need to be quicker contribution based.
  - Mobile except aeronautical mobile allocation in some bands; may need clarifications with regard to usage by low flying drones. UAS require access like other terrestrial UE.
  - Not only infrastructure-based, also RLAN, eID via Bluetooth within up to 250 m or even 1 km. PC5 mode could potentially also be used for UAS in future?
  - First MFCN based solutions may become available in 2019-2020.
  - Use of 'low bands' for coverage and 'higher bands' for capacity.
  - MNOs see benefits: seamless, use existing infrastructure, low-cost.



Which functionality may need to be supported?

- CC & Payload
- Consideration/idea about a UAS traffic management system (U-Space) that will track all of the unmanned aircraft in the designated area
  - Geo-awareness
  - Anti-colission (communications or sensors)
- Communications and other applications (e.g. pilot-to-pilot)
- Latency requirements
- E-identification (U1)



#### **Standardisation**

- Need for standards
  - MFCN
    - 3GPP LTE Rel-15 (LTE) Study Item towards Technical Report (TR) 36.777 – bands below 3.8 GHz are of interest
    - The model is based on subscription/service (SIM card) from an MNO
    - Interference condition and height management in one solution
  - Non-MFCN
  - Work on ETSI Technical Report 103 373 in TC ERM TG Aero (scope: to describe professional UAS use cases) has taken some time
    - MFCN sufficient? Application of RE-D was unclear for a long time
    - Other existing harmoinsation is sufficient?
- ETSI consolidated proposal (SRDoc or specs/standards) not available.



<u>Sense/Detect-And-Avoid</u> – in R&D – tendency to use COTS products – technical solutions for low flying UAS/drones not mature yet

- Use of several technologies provide a potentially safer approach using data fusion
  - Operator (pilot) verifies and decides on the intervention on his display, or
  - autonomous decision
- Drones/UAS Scenarios to be described
- Detection
  - Radio communications (common drone awareness, e.g. such as in ITS or MFCN), acoustic, thermal/IR, optical, radars are all possible technical solutions; especially BVLOS operation requires system solutions
- Intervention (e.g. jamming or 'catching' a drone or the remote control); must not harm any people



#### Professional Drones/UAS use cases

- Disaster situation
  - Forest fires, damage or accident traffic inspection, flooding, maritime rescue, large scale monitoring and situational awareness, search and rescue of persons, transport of medical/ blod, life-saving equipment, fast deployed support of communications (flying com platform/ hot spot) or providing simply light
  - Spectrum: reliable/robust frequency use, operating distance can be several km and BVLOS; future perspectives: DAA, swarms, larger devices for transport
- Scientific, transport, infrastructure, monitoring, data acquisition, tracking, spectrum monitoring, agriculture, cellular relay/ temporary coverage extensions etc. – potentially many vertical markets
- Drone 'swarm' or 'fleat' professional applications



# Unlicensed Use:

- Flying Model use focused on 2.4 GHz (CC) and 5.8 GHz (for cameras, many analogue solutions, high-gain ground Rx antennas for tracking)
- Other opportunities still used at 27 MHz, 35 MHz and 40 MHz but loose significance. Some use in 433 MHz and 868 MHz, also because some use fallback-systems.
- Requirements
  - BVLOS (e.g. behind a nearby obstacle), parallel operation of several links, Robust links, Low latency incl. real-time video
  - Models/consumer use: no infrastructure needed (PP links)
- Concern that 2.4 GHz may get overcrowded, 5.8 GHz gets also more crowded.



# Professional Use (i)

- Highly professional use may use the aviation infrastructure used for communication, navigation, surveillance, such as VHF, GPS and Automatic Dependant Surveillance.
- MFCN may provide solutions.
- ITS (802.11/11p/ITS G5 as well as LTE V2X) may provide solutions.
- Specific solutions using e.g. PMR, PMSE, C-band, even higher bands (PMP solutions), mostly based on indiv. licensing
- Other professional solutions not clear yet.
- Results of market surveillance campaign in ADCO RED in 2015 (overall compliance is modest). Some UAS/drones use license-exempt but with higher emissions than allowed.



# Professional Use (ii)

- Numerous drones applications are pushing for very high payload throughput.
- A very stable, reliable spectrum access for CC is needed.
- Small drones: advantage if CC and payload are on the same or nearby frequencies.
- Proposals were made to create a new work item in WG FM for identifying (harmonising) spectrum for UAS/drones; ETSI can be invited to support the work based on consolidated proposals and open specifications/standards.



# The 'U-Space':

- Will start with e-registration, e-identifcation, geo-awareness etc; may end in a sort of network with many more features rel. to the planned flight path and 'traffic awareness'
- Precise coexistence with ATM may need some specifications
  - reference points
  - Definition of air spaces (relationship of ATM and the U-Space)
  - Helicopter/drones issue at low heigths above ground
  - Full or better integration may be needed
  - High altitude drones use the U-space during take-off and landing
  - Low altitude drones may need an interface with ATM in the future. Many initiatives discuss this possibility at this time.



#### Need for compatibility studies?

- many spectrum compatibility and sharing studies in the past did not consider the use case 'UAS/drone' but rather only usage on the ground or at limited height.
- UAS/drones by nature can interfere and can be interfered much easier due to their exposed location in the sky.
- There was a proposal to check existing studies, as appropriate, whether some additional studies are needed.





## Views from CEPT administrations, market surveillance, EC

#### Views from European Commission (EC):

- Commission Decision on SRDs provides spectrum designations for unlicensed use (27 MHz, 433 MHz, 863 – 870 MHz, 2,4 GHz, 5,8 GHz).
- Commission Decision on Video PMSE (2010 2025 MHz).
- Commission Decisions on terrestrial systems capable of providing ECS (Electronic Communications Services); Allocations to the 'mobile service': 1800 MHz, 2 GHz, 3,6 GHz, Allocations to the 'mobile service except aeronautical mobile service': 700 MHz, 800 MHz, 900 MHz, 2.6 GHz.
- 5G Action Plan: 5G everywhere in 2025.
- Discussions on drones started in 12/2017 in the Radio Spectrum Committee (RSC), further discussions based on developments and on views from Member States.
- Questions: unclear allocations of spectrum?, new bands for the 'Open' and 'Specific' categories.



# Views from CEPT administrations, market surveillance, EC

# Views from CEPT administrations:

- Specific frequencies for UAS / drones not defined in national frequency tables.
- Illegal use because of too restrictive power limits.
- CEPT should work on identification/harmonisation of spectrum for drones:
  - Different spectrum for CC and payload?
  - Suitability of MFCN for drones?
  - Regulatory challenges in the scope of 5G
- Suitability of MFCN (ECS)? for professional drones, because of:
  - exclusion of 'mobile aeronautical service',
  - MFCN planned for land coverage (down-tilt of antennas, steerable antennas in 5G),
  - MFCN at 2.6 GHz: coexistence with radars within 2.7 2.9 GHz



# Views from CEPT administrations, market surveillance, EC

#### Views from CEPT administrations (cont.):

- Market demand for dedicated band(s) for professional drones?
- Possible bands for future studies (for discussion):
  - 1880 1900 MHz (DECT use to be considered) for governmental drones;
  - 1900 1920 MHz (coexistence with MFCN above 1920 MHz) for professional and governmental drones;
  - 5000 5010 MHz (e.g. for low power LOS drones) for professional drones.
- Market surveillance actions on imported equipment (operating in unauthorised bands).





#### What next ? Use of cellular networks for drones

- Strong interest from some industry representatives for covering payload, C2 and U-Space to the extent possible through cellular networks (MFCN).
- Work item in ECC (ECC/PT1) seems to cover all aspects :
  - Operators/industry will manage solutions to limit the impact on their own cellular network
  - Need to address adjacent blocks and adjacent services, when relevant
  - Need to address potential cross-border issue
  - The result of the WI will provide the necessary conditions to operate drones over MFCN networks (without prejudice of U-Space considerations)
- Actions:
  - to define under which spectrum technical conditions, where appropriate, operators may operate drones on MFCN networks;
  - to clarify what could be restrictions for drones in using bands allocated to the 'mobile service except aeronautical mobile service'.



#### What next ? Civil aviation requirement

- U-Space concept is under development outside CEPT, additional input to CEPT/ECC is needed from aviation organisations.
- Functionnalities which may require spectrum harmonisation:
  - E-Identification
  - Geo-awareness
  - Anti-collision
  - Other ?
- Civil aviation will need to define how to provide these functionalities and possible spectrum requirement
- Action: CEPT and relevant organisations (EASA, Eurocontrol, ETSI, ...) should cooperate with each other during the ongoing process.



#### What next? Other needs

- The concept of "professional" drones may be questionnable but several spectrum needs are emerging
- Spectrum currently used in license-exempt bands has some drawbacks
  - Many bands (i.e. 5 GHz) are not available for drones operations
  - Risk of 2.4 GHz and 5.8 GHz becoming overcrowded
  - Power restrictions limit the range of operation and the reliability
  - Some drones operations may require a more reliable framework, e.g. by individually and/or temporarily licensing of spectrum





#### What next ? Other needs

- The current work items in WG FM covers these elements, and focus may be brought to:
  - Relaxation of the indoor restriction for the band 5150-5250 MHz (outcome on AI 1.16 of WRC-19 on WAS/RLANs in 5150 MHz - 5925 MHz may have an impact)?
  - Provide a solution for more reliable and longer range CC for drones (also by taking into account the band 1900-1920 MHz).
  - To recognice specific needs for governmental drones.
  - To recognise the possibility of using some relevant PMSE bands for payload (e.g. Video).
  - WG FM will review the Work Item based on the outcome of the Workshop.



# Thank you very much

Link to presentations:

https://cept.org/ecc/cept-workshop-on-spectrum-for-drones-uas/

The workshop outcome will be discussed in ECC, WG FM and ECC PT1. It is planned to publish an article in the ECC Newsletter.