### **DIGITAL RADIO PLANNING**



Research & Development

# Digital radio planning – some history

### **Previous Planning**

- Analogue transmissions
- Fixed rooftop reception
- Assignment based
  - Transmitter parameters must be known

### Result

- complex conference planning
- easy implementation

### **Digital Radio**

- New technology
   OFDM
- mobile service
- SFNs
  - network gain
- Allotment planning
  - Transmitter parameters do not need to be known
- Improved computer technology computers can be used to help devise a 'plan'
- Result
  - less complex conference planning
  - Implementation more complex

BIB

#### **Research & Development**

# **Planning meetings for T-DAB**

### Wiesbaden 1995

- VHF Band III & L Band (1.5 GHz)
- 2 networks, 1st & 2nd Priorities

### Bonn 1996

Implementation

Additions to Wiesbaden Agreement

### Maastricht 2002

– L Band Only,

– 1 network, third priority

### • RRC-04 & 06

B

# What does allotment planning comprise?

- Decide your new requirements
  - the areas you want to serve described as a series of test points
  - the type of service (DAB / DVB-T, fixed, mobile, portable indoor etc)
  - a preferred frequency or range if desired
- Identify other services which need to be taken into account
- Choose your reference network
  - taking into account the requirements for the type of service
- Calculate compatibility
  - to other new requirements
  - to other services which must be protected
- Assign frequencies
  - the synthesis



B

### **Choose your requirements**







**Research & Development** 

# **Compatibility Calculation (simplified)**

- It is necessary to know which requirements will not work together.
- Reference Networks
  - Closed (shown here)
  - Open or semi-closed
- outgoing interference potential is characterised by the RN
- which is then used to assess compatibility by treating it as an interfering source
- Field strength calculation using Rec. 1546



#### **Research & Development**

# **Compatibility & Synthesis**

- Calculate compatibility
  - Other services
  - From each allotment area to all other allotment areas



- Use a synthesis program to try and fit frequencies to areas
  - Compatibility
  - Preferences

### Special Agreements between Administrations

### **Band III Allotments (from Wiesbaden)**





#### **Research & Development**

# Implementation (Bonn 1996)

### Simple Rule

- the real transmitter network should cause no more interference than a reference network.
- A 'threshold' value for maximum interfering field strength is calculated and agreed for the required service

### Test Points

- the reference network is used to calculate the position of 'calculation test points'
- the total interfering field strength from the real network at those test points is calculated according to set rules
- If the total interfering field strength of the real network is below the threshold value, then no further co-ordination is required.
- If the total interfering field strength of the real network exceeds the threshold value – then bilateral negotiations are required

#### Research & Development

B

# **Bonn Summation**

The power sum is obtained as follows:

- starting with the highest interfering source, the power values equivalent to the interfering field strengths are added, one after the other;
- at each summation, the result is compared to the previous one;
- if the increase in power is greater than or equal to 0.5 dB, the summation process continues and the next interfering transmitter is taken into account as well;
- if the increase in power would have been less than 0.5 dB, the summation process is stopped and 0.5 dB is added instead, giving the result of the power sum.
- The final 0.5 dB is used to represent all the remaining interfering transmitter, which each contribute less than 0.5 dB.



### Example

- For a single calculation test point, with a T-DAB allotment converted into a network of 6 assignments, Transmitters 1 to 6, the power summation process would be as detailed below:
- Note: The first stage of the summation process is to sort the transmitters in order of decreasing equivalent field strength
- The corresponding power factor, power summation and conversion back to the resulting equivalent field strength are calculated
- Note: transmitter 6 does not feature directly in the calculation.

Tx	Equivalent Field strength E <sub>n</sub> (dBμV/m)	Corresponding Power Factor P <sub>f</sub>	Progressive Power Sum ∑ <sub>p</sub>	Corresponding Equivalent Field Strength E <sub>ps</sub> (dBuV/m)	Increase (dB)	Comment	Resulting Equivalent Field Strength (dBµV/m)
Tx 3	13.55	22.65	22.65	13.55		Continue summation	13.55
Tx 4	12.73	18.75	41.40	16.17	2.62	Increase due to this Tx will be more than 0.5 dB, so continue.	16.17
Tx 2	11.88	15.42	56.81	17.54	1.37	Increase due to this Tx will be more than 0.5 dB, so continue.	17.54
Tx 5	11.21	13.21	70.03	18.45	0.91	Increase due to this Tx will be more than 0.5 dB, so continue.	18.95
Tx 1	8.31	6.78	76.80	18.85	0.40	Increase due to this Tx will be less than 0.5 dB, so add 0.5 dB and stop summation.	19.45



Page 11

R

## The next step for T-DAB (and DVB-T)

- RRC-06
- Bands III
  - T-DAB
  - DVB-T
- Bands IV & V
  - DVB-T only
- Will be a mixture of allotment planning & assignment planning
- Requirements for new services need to be prepared
- Requirements for the protection of existing services need to be prepared
- Sharing criteria for DVB-T and T-DAB in Band III have been documented in the report of the 1<sup>st</sup> session
- RPCs, Reference Networks and sharing parameters for other services also all documented in the report of the 1<sup>st</sup> session



B

### BBC DAB National Network Expansion Implementation Issues

2003 - 2004



**Research & Development** 

# Band III T-DAB frequency spectrum used in England and Wales



### 5 Blocks used for UK local & regional services

**Research & Development** 

Page 14

B

# T-DAB national channel allocation in the UK and Ireland





#### Research & Development

### **Digital Radio: national networks**



- By Autumn 2001, the BBC network consisted of 32 transmitting stations serving 65% of the UK population
- Digital One had declared a target of 85% coverage of GB by end of 2002
- The BBC has now declared a target of 85% coverage of UK
- BBC's current expansion is 40 additional stations by end of 2004, giving 85% of UK coverage

Autumn 2001 figures

BBC 32 stations, 65% of UK

Digital One (INR) 51 stations, 82% of GB





BBC Digital Radio targets for coverage extension to 85% of the UK population

- 1 M5/M4 corridor
- 2 South coast
- 3 Pennine belt
  - 4 East Anglia
- 5 M6/A74 corridor
- 6 SW England
- 7 Scotland
- 8 Kent

 $\odot$ 

Ó

6

- 9 Wales
- 10 Northern Ireland

#### Research & Development

# Existing Coverage



High quality coverage

#### Variable quality coverage\*

\*In common with all radio networks, reception may Sometimes be affected by local conditions, Particularly near the edge of the shaded area



Coverage data reproduced by permission of BBC Digital Radio Base mapping © Bartholomew Ltd 1988.



#### **Research & Development**

# Consolidation phase

2003 - 4



#### Variable quality coverage\*

\*In common with all radio networks, reception may Sometimes be affected by local conditions, Particularly near the edge of the shaded area

Note: commissioning dates of individual transmitters will vary. This map shows predicted coverage, actual coverage may differ.

Coverage data reproduced by permission of BBC Digital Radio Base mapping © Bartholomew Ltd 1988.



### BBC

#### **Research & Development**

### Expansion into South East England 2003 - 4



High quality coverage

#### Variable quality coverage\*

\*In common with all radio networks, reception may Sometimes be affected by local conditions, Particularly near the edge of the shaded area

Note: commissioning dates of individual transmitters will vary. This map shows predicted coverage, actual coverage may differ.

Coverage data reproduced by permission of BBC Digital Radio Base mapping © Bartholomew Ltd 1988.



#### **Research & Development**

### Expansion into East Anglia 2003 - 4



High quality coverage

#### Variable quality coverage\*

\*In common with all radio networks, reception may Sometimes be affected by local conditions, Particularly near the edge of the shaded area

Note: commissioning dates of individual transmitters will vary. This map shows predicted coverage, actual coverage may differ.

Coverage data reproduced by permission of BBC Digital Radio Base mapping © Bartholomew Ltd 1988.



#### **Research & Development**

### Expansion into South West England 2003 - 4



High quality coverage

#### Variable quality coverage\*

\*In common with all radio networks, reception may Sometimes be affected by local conditions, Particularly near the edge of the shaded area

Note: commissioning dates of individual transmitters will vary. This map shows predicted coverage, actual coverage may differ.

Coverage data reproduced by permission of BBC Digital Radio Base mapping © Bartholomew Ltd 1988.



#### **Research & Development**

### Expansion into North West England 2003 - 4



High quality coverage

### Variable quality coverage\*

\*In common with all radio networks, reception may Sometimes be affected by local conditions, Particularly near the edge of the shaded area

Note: commissioning dates of individual transmitters will vary. This map shows predicted coverage, actual coverage may differ.

Coverage data reproduced by permission of BBC Digital Radio Base mapping © Bartholomew Ltd 1988.



#### **Research & Development**

### Expansion into Scotland

2003 - 4



#### Variable quality coverage\*

\*In common with all radio networks, reception may Sometimes be affected by local conditions, Particularly near the edge of the shaded area

Note: commissioning dates of individual transmitters will vary. This map shows predicted coverage, actual coverage may differ.

Coverage data reproduced by permission of BBC Digital Radio Base mapping © Bartholomew Ltd 1988.



#### **Research & Development**

### **Planning Considerations for an SFN**

- When Single Frequency Networks are being planned particular parameters should be taken into consideration. These are:
- Transmitter synchronisation & timing
  - Not just same frequency, need same content
- Summation of field strengths & network gain
  - Multiple signals at a given receiving location (within the guard interval) can result in an increase in the wanted fields strength
- Maximum transmitter distance & self-interference
  - Signals from adjacent transmitters should ideally arrive within the guard interval – or self interference efects may result



# **Timing Issues**

### Adding Lancaster & Morecambe Bay to the BBC National Network SFN

- Lancaster 2 kW e.r.p. nominally omni-directional
- Network synchronized time is 800 µsecs

#### **Research & Development**



# Map Colours

- Green, areas that improve substantially
- Grey, areas that improve 'a bit' (should be light green)
- Light Blue, areas that do not change
- Light red, areas that get 'a bit ' worse
- Dark Red, areas that get 'a lot' worse
- Note that these colours only denote change, they give no indication of whether an area is served or unserved!



### **UK Map with transmitters**



#### Research & Development



### Lancaster 750 µs





#### **Research & Development**

### Lancaster 775 µs





#### **Research & Development**

### Lancaster 800 µs





#### **Research & Development**

### Lancaster 825 µs





#### **Research & Development**

### Lancaster 850 µs





#### **Research & Development**

### Lancaster 875 µs





#### **Research & Development**

### Lancaster 900 µs





#### **Research & Development**

### Lancaster 925 µs





#### **Research & Development**

### Lancaster 950 µs





#### **Research & Development**

### Lancaster 975 µs





#### **Research & Development**

### Lancaster 1000 µs





#### **Research & Development**

# **Evaluation**

- Populations can be assessed for each of the foregoing maps
- It should be noted that these maps have not been calculated for the whole country, only for a limited area around service area of this transmitter.
- Therefore we need to look at the changes rather than absolute population figures, by relating everything to the situation for a co-timed network.
- We can then see how the extra population gained varies with relative timing of the Lancaster transmitter



### **Population Gain for Lancaster**



**Research & Development** 

Page 41

B

### Morecambe Bay 750 μs, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### Morecambe Bay 775 μS, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### Morecambe Bay 800 μs, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### Morecambe Bay 825 μs, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### Morecambe Bay 850 μs, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### Morecambe Bay 875 μs, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### Morecambe Bay 900 μs, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### Morecambe Bay 925 μs, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### Morecambe Bay 950 μs, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### Morecambe Bay 975 μs, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### Morecambe Bay 1000 μS, (Lancaster at 900 μs)





#### **Research & Development**

### **Population Gain for Morecambe**



**Research & Development** 

Page 53

B

# **Adjacent Channel Issues**

- Following WI95, the Radio Authority (now part of OFCOM) coordinated a series of regional and local networks.
- Two of the frequencies are upper and lower adjacent to the BBC National Network multiplex
- Where two adjacent channel multiplexes are not co-sited interference may result
- Rules have had to be agreed to minimise interference from those adjacent multiplexes to the BBC National Network and vice versa.
- Areas where the existing coverage is marginal are typically problematical.
- In some cases it is necessary to build 'filler' stations to restore lost coverage





Independent Local and Regional Networks

Use the other 5 channels
Protected Areas
Possible interference

#### Research & Development



### **Adjacent Channel Interference**



50

60

70

#### **Research & Development**

### **Solution to Adjacent Channel Problem**



**Research & Development** 

B

### Conclusions

- Allotment planning gives flexibility in implementation and simplifies the conference planning process
- Administrations need to specify their requirements, but do not need to know their exact implementation details
- Rules need to be agreed to enable administrations to implement a real network without the need for further coordination
- Timing should be used in a SFN to optimise coverage by minimising self-interference within the network



R