

RESOLUTION ITU-R 1-7

**Working methods for the Radiocommunication Assembly, the  
Radiocommunication Study Groups, the  
Radiocommunication Advisory Group and other groups of the  
Radiocommunication Sector**

(1993-1995-1997-2000-2003-2007-2012-2015)

The ITU Radiocommunication Assembly,

*considering*

- a)* that the duties and functions of the Radiocommunication Assembly are stated in Article 13 of the ITU Constitution and Article 8 of the ITU Convention;
- b)* that the duties, functions and organization of the Radiocommunication Study Groups and the Radiocommunication Advisory Group (RAG) are briefly described in Articles 11, 11A and 20 of the Convention;
- c)* Resolutions ITU-R 2, 36 and 52, concerning the Conference Preparatory Meeting (CPM), the Coordination Committee for Vocabulary (CCV) and RAG, respectively;
- d)* that the General Rules of Conferences, Assemblies and Meetings of the Union have been adopted by the Plenipotentiary Conference,

*noting*

that the Director of the Radiocommunication Bureau is authorized by this Resolution, in close cooperation with RAG when needed, to periodically issue updated versions of guidelines on working methods which complement and are additional to this Resolution,

*resolves*

that the working methods and documentation of the Radiocommunication Assembly, the Radiocommunication Study Groups, the RAG and other groups of the Radiocommunication Sector shall be in accordance with Annexes 1 and 2.

## ANNEX 1

**Working methods of ITU-R**

	<b>Page</b>
A1.1	Introduction ..... 2
A1.2	The Radiocommunication Assembly ..... 3
A1.2.1	Functions ..... 3
A1.2.2	Structure ..... 4
A1.3	Radiocommunication Study Groups ..... 4
A1.3.1	Functions ..... 4
A1.3.2	Structure ..... 7
A1.4	The Radiocommunication Advisory Group ..... 9
A1.5	Preparations for World and Regional Radiocommunication Conferences ..... 9
A1.6	Other considerations ..... 10
A1.6.1	Coordination among Study Groups, Sectors and with other international organizations ..... 10
A1.6.2	Director's Guidelines ..... 10

**A1.1 Introduction**

A1.1.1 As mentioned in Article 12 of the Constitution, the Radiocommunication Sector, bearing in mind the particular concerns of developing countries, fulfils the purposes of the Union, as stated in Article 1 of the Constitution, relating to radiocommunication:

- by ensuring the rational, equitable, efficient and economical use of the radio-frequency spectrum by all radiocommunication services, including those using the geostationary-satellite or other satellite orbits, subject to the provisions of Article 44 of the Constitution, and
- by carrying out studies without limit of frequency range and adopting recommendations on radiocommunication matters.

A1.1.2 The Radiocommunication Sector works through World and Regional Radiocommunication Conferences, the Radio Regulations Board, Radiocommunication Assemblies, Radiocommunication Study Groups, the Radiocommunication Advisory Group, other groups and the Radiocommunication Bureau, headed by the elected Director. This Resolution deals with the Radiocommunication Assembly, the Radiocommunication Study Groups, the Radiocommunication Advisory Group and other groups of the Radiocommunication Sector.

## **A1.2 The Radiocommunication Assembly**

### **A1.2.1 Functions**

A1.2.1.1 The Radiocommunication Assembly shall:

- consider the reports of the Director of the Radiocommunication Bureau (hereinafter, the Director) and of the Chairmen of the Study Groups, the Chairman of the Conference Preparatory Meeting (CPM), the Chairman of the Radiocommunication Advisory Group (RAG) pursuant to No. 160I of the Convention and the Chairman of the Coordination Committee for Vocabulary (CCV);
- approve, taking into account the priority, urgency and time-scale for the completion of the studies and the financial implications, the programme of work<sup>1</sup> (see Resolution ITU-R 5) arising from the review of:
  - existing and new Questions;
  - existing and new ITU-R Resolutions, and
  - topics to be carried forward to the next study period, as identified in the Study Group Chairmen Reports to the Radiocommunication Assembly;
- delete any Question that a Study Group Chairman, at two consecutive Assemblies, reports as having received no study contributions, unless a Member State, Sector Member or Associate reports that it is undertaking studies on that Question and will contribute the results of those studies prior to the next Assembly, or unless a newer version of the Question is approved;
- decide, in the light of the approved programme of work, on the need to maintain, terminate or establish Study Groups (see Resolution ITU-R 4), and allocate to each of them the Questions to be studied;
- give special attention to problems of particular interest to developing countries by grouping Questions of interest to the developing countries as far as possible, in order to facilitate their participation in the study of those Questions;
- review and approve revised or new ITU-R Resolutions;
- consider and approve draft Recommendations proposed by the Study Groups and the membership, and any other documents within its scope, or make arrangements for the delegation of the consideration and approval of draft Recommendations and other documents to the Study Groups, as set out elsewhere in this Resolution or in other ITU-R Resolutions, as appropriate;
- take note of the Recommendations approved since the last Radiocommunication Assembly, paying special attention to the Recommendations incorporated by reference within the Radio Regulations;
- communicate to the subsequent World Radiocommunication Conference (WRC) a list of the ITU-R Recommendations containing text incorporated by reference in the Radio Regulations which have been revised and approved during the previous study period.

A1.2.1.2 Heads of Delegations shall:

- consider the proposals regarding the organization of the work and the establishment of relevant committees;

---

<sup>1</sup> RAG should consider and recommend modifications to the programme of work in accordance with Resolution ITU-R 52.

- draw up the proposals concerning the designation of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of the committees, Study Groups, Conference Preparatory Meeting, the Radiocommunication Advisory Group, and the Coordination Committee for Vocabulary, taking into account Resolution ITU-R 15.

A1.2.1.3 In accordance with No. 137A of the Convention, and the provisions of Article 11A of the Convention, the Radiocommunication Assembly may assign specific matters within its competence, except those relating to the procedures contained in the Radio Regulations, to the Radiocommunication Advisory Group for advice on the action required on those matters.

A1.2.1.4 The Radiocommunication Assembly shall report to the next World Radiocommunication Conference on the progress in matters that may be included in agendas of future Radiocommunication Conferences as well as on the progress of ITU-R studies in response to requests made by previous Radiocommunication Conferences.

A1.2.1.5 A Radiocommunication Assembly may express its opinion relating to the duration or agenda of a future Assembly or, when appropriate, to the application of the provisions of Section 4 of the General Rules of Conferences, Assemblies and Meetings of the Union relating to the cancellation of a Radiocommunication Assembly.

A1.2.1.6 The Director shall issue, in electronic form, information that will include preparatory documents for the Radiocommunication Assembly.

## **A1.2.2 Structure**

A1.2.2.1 The Radiocommunication Assembly, in undertaking the duties assigned to it in Article 13 of the Constitution, Article 8 of the Convention and the General Rules of Conferences, Assemblies and Meetings of the Union, shall conduct the work of each Assembly by setting up committees, as may be required, to address organization, work programme, budget control, and editorial matters.

A1.2.2.2 In addition to committees mentioned in § A1.2.2.1, the Radiocommunication Assembly shall also establish a Steering Committee, presided over by the Chairman of the Assembly, and composed of the Vice-Chairmen of the Assembly and the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of the Committees.

A1.2.2.3 All committees referred to in § A1.2.2.1 shall cease to exist with the closing of the Radiocommunication Assembly except, if required, the Editorial Committee. The Editorial Committee shall be responsible for aligning and perfecting the form of any texts prepared during the meeting and of any amendments made by the Radiocommunication Assembly to texts.

A1.2.2.4 The Radiocommunication Assembly may also establish, by Resolution, committees or groups that meet to address specific matters, if required. The terms of reference should be contained in the establishing Resolution.

## **A1.3 Radiocommunication Study Groups**

### **A1.3.1 Functions**

A1.3.1.1 Each Study Group shall perform an executive role in carrying out studies and adopting Recommendations and Questions, as well as approving Reports and Handbooks, on radiocommunication matters under its mandate, including the planning, scheduling, supervision, delegation and approval of the work and other related matters.

A1.3.1.2 The work of each Study Group, within the scope defined in Resolution ITU-R 4, shall be organized by the Study Group itself on the basis of proposals by its Chairman in consultation

with the Vice-Chairmen. New or revised Questions or Resolutions approved by the Radiocommunication Assembly on topics referred to it by the Plenipotentiary Conference, any other conference, the Council or the Radio Regulations Board, pursuant to No. 129 of the Convention, shall be studied. In accordance with Nos. 149 and 149A of the Convention and Resolution ITU-R 5, studies on topics within the scope of the Study Group may be undertaken without Questions. The topics of such studies, especially the scope of work, should be posted on the ITU website. Where a study initiated without a Question is expected to last more than four years, the Study Group is encouraged to develop an appropriate Question.

A1.3.1.3 Each Study Group shall maintain a plan for its work that considers a period of at least four years ahead, taking due account of the related schedule of World Radiocommunication Conferences, Regional Radiocommunication Conferences and Radiocommunication Assemblies. The plan may be reviewed at each meeting of the Study Group.

A1.3.1.4 The Study Groups may establish subgroups necessary to facilitate the completion of their work. With the exception of Working Parties, introduced in § A1.3.2.2, the terms of reference and milestones of subgroups established during a Study Group meeting shall be reviewed and adjusted at each Study Group meeting as appropriate.

A1.3.1.5 When Working Parties, Task Groups or Joint Task Groups (defined in § A1.3.2) are assigned preparatory studies on matters to be considered by World or Regional Radiocommunication Conferences (see Resolution ITU-R 2), the work should be coordinated by the relevant Study Groups, Working Parties and Task Groups. The final reports of the Working Parties, Task Groups or Joint Task Groups may be submitted directly to the Conference Preparatory Meeting process, normally at the meeting called to consolidate Study Group texts into the draft CPM Report, or exceptionally via the relevant Study Group.

A1.3.1.6 Electronic means of communication shall be used as far as possible to facilitate the work of Study Groups, Working Parties, Task Groups and other subordinate groups, both during and between their respective meetings.

A1.3.1.7 The Director will maintain a list of Member States, Sector Members, Associates and Academia participating in each Study Group, Working Party or Task Group and exceptionally, Joint Rapporteur Groups if so deemed necessary (see § A1.3.2.8).

A1.3.1.8 Matters of substance, within the scope of a Study Group, may only be considered within Study Groups, Working Parties, Joint Working Parties, Task Groups, Joint Task Groups, Rapporteur Groups, Joint Rapporteur Groups and Correspondence Groups (defined in § A1.3.2) as well as within Intersector Rapporteur Groups (see § A1.6.1.3).

A1.3.1.9 The Study Group Chairmen, in consultation with their Vice-Chairmen and with the Director, shall plan the schedule of Study Group, Working Party and Task Group meetings for the forthcoming period, taking account of the budget allocated to Study Group activities. The Chairmen shall consult with the Director to ensure that the provisions of §§ A1.3.1.11 and A1.3.1.12 below are appropriately considered especially as they apply to available resources.

A1.3.1.10 Study Groups shall consider at their meetings, the draft Recommendations, Reports, Questions, progress reports and other texts prepared by Working Parties and Task Groups, as well as contributions submitted by the membership and Rapporteurs and/or Rapporteur Groups established by the same Study Group. To facilitate participation, a draft agenda shall be published in the Administrative Circular announcing the meeting, at latest, three months in advance of each meeting, indicating, to the extent possible, specific days for consideration of different topics.

A1.3.1.11 For meetings held outside Geneva, the provisions of Resolution 5 (Kyoto, 1994) of the Plenipotentiary Conference shall apply. Invitations to hold meetings of the Study Groups or their

Working Parties and Task Groups away from Geneva should be accompanied by a statement indicating the host's agreement to defray the additional expenditure involved and the host's acceptance of *resolves* 2 of Resolution 5 (Kyoto, 1994) which states "that invitations to hold development conferences and meetings of the Study Groups of the Sectors away from Geneva should not be accepted unless the host government provides at least adequate premises and the necessary furniture and equipment free of charge, except that in the case of developing countries equipment need not necessarily be provided free of charge by the host government, if the government so requests".

A1.3.1.12 To ensure the efficient use of the resources of the Radiocommunication Sector and of the participants in its work and to reduce the amount of travel involved, the Director, in consultation with the Chairmen, shall establish and publish a programme of meetings in a timely manner. This programme should take into account relevant factors, including:

- the expected participation when grouping the meetings of a certain Study Group, Working Parties or Task Groups;
- the desirability of contiguous meetings on related topics;
- the capacity of the ITU-Resources;
- the requirements for documents to be used in meetings;
- the need for coordination with the other activities of ITU and other organizations;
- any directive issued by the Radiocommunication Assembly concerning the Study Group meetings.

A1.3.1.13 A Study Group meeting should, wherever appropriate, be held immediately after Working Party and Task Group meetings. The draft agenda of such a Study Group meeting should contain the following points:

- if some Working Parties and Task Groups have met earlier and have prepared draft Recommendations, for which the approval process in accordance with § A2.6 of Annex 2 is to be applied, a list of such draft Recommendations, each accompanied by a summary of the new or revised Recommendation;
- a description of the topics to be addressed by the Working Party and Task Group meetings just before the Study Group meeting for which draft Recommendations may be developed.

A1.3.1.14 The draft agenda for Working Party and Task Group meetings, which are immediately followed by a Study Group meeting, should indicate as specifically as possible the topics to be addressed, and should indicate where it is anticipated that draft Recommendations are to be considered.

A1.3.1.15 The Director shall issue, in electronic form, at regular intervals, information that will include:

- an invitation to participate in the work of the Study Groups for the next meeting;
- information on electronic access to relevant documentation;
- a schedule of meetings with updates, as appropriate;
- any other information that could be of assistance to the membership.

A1.3.1.16 Study Groups will grant high priority, for the continuation of their work, to the Questions meeting guidelines defined in *a)* and *b)* below, with an intent to manage as efficiently as possible the scarce resources of ITU, taking into account the need to give appropriate priority to topics addressed to them by relevant ITU bodies, such as Plenipotentiary Conferences, WRCs, Regional Radiocommunication Conferences and the Radio Regulations Board:

- a)* Questions which are within the mandate of ITU-R:  
 This guideline ensures that Questions and their associated studies are related to the conduct of radiocommunication matters, in line with Nos. 150-154 and 159 of the Convention, “a) use of the radio-frequency spectrum in terrestrial and space radiocommunication and of the geostationary-satellite and other satellite orbits; b) characteristics and performance of radio systems; c) operation of radio stations; and d) radiocommunication aspects of distress and safety matters”. However, new or revised Questions, when adopted, shall not include any reference to spectrum matters covering proposals on allocation unless requested under a Radiocommunication Assembly agenda item relating to the Question, or in a WRC Resolution seeking studies by ITU-R;
- b)* Questions that relate to work being conducted by other international entities:  
 If such work is being conducted elsewhere, the Study Group should liaise with such other entities, in accordance with § A1.6.1.4 of this Resolution and Resolution ITU-R 9, to determine the most appropriate way to conduct the studies, with a view to taking advantage of external expertise.

### **A1.3.2 Structure**

A1.3.2.1 The Chairman of a Study Group should establish a Steering Committee composed of all Vice-Chairmen, Working Party Chairmen and their Vice-Chairmen, as well as the Chairmen of subgroups to assist in the organization of the work.

A1.3.2.2 The Study Groups will normally set up Working Parties to study within their scope the Questions assigned to them, as well as topics in accordance with § A1.3.1.2 above. Working Parties are understood to exist over an undefined period to answer Questions and study the topics put before the Study Group. Each Working Party will study Questions and these topics, and will prepare draft Recommendations and other texts for consideration by the Study Group. To limit the resource impact on the Radiocommunication Bureau, Member States, Sector Members, Associates and Academia<sup>2</sup>, a Study Group shall establish by consensus<sup>3</sup> and maintain only the minimum number of Working Parties.

A1.3.2.3 A Study Group may also establish a minimum number of Task Groups, as necessary, to which it may assign the studies of those urgent issues and the preparation of those urgent Recommendations that cannot reasonably be carried out by a Working Party; appropriate liaison between the work of a Task Group and the Working Parties may be required. Given the urgent nature of the issues that need to be assigned to a Task Group, deadlines will be established for the completion of the work of a Task Group, and the Task Group will be disbanded upon completion of the assigned work.

---

<sup>2</sup> The term Academia includes colleges, institutes, universities and their associated research establishments concerned with the development of telecommunications/ICT which are admitted to participate in the work of ITU-R (see Resolution 169 (Rev. Busan, 2014) of the Plenipotentiary Conference).

<sup>3</sup> Consistent with the United Nations practice, consensus is understood to mean the practice of adopting decisions by general agreement in the absence of any formal objection and without a vote.

A1.3.2.4 Establishment of a Task Group shall be an action taken by a Study Group during its meeting and shall be the subject of a Decision. For each Task Group, the Study Group shall prepare a text listing:

- the specific matters to be studied within the Question or topic assigned and the subject of the draft Recommendation(s) and/or draft Report(s) to be prepared;
- the reporting date;
- the name and address of the Chairman and any Vice-Chairmen.

In addition, for the case of an urgent Question or topic arising between Study Group meetings, such that it cannot reasonably be considered at a scheduled Study Group meeting, the Chairman, in consultation with the Vice-Chairmen and the Director, may take action to establish a Task Group, in a Decision indicating the urgent Question or topic to be studied. Such action shall be confirmed by the following Study Group meeting.

A1.3.2.5 When necessary, to bring together inputs that cover multiple Study Groups, or to study Questions or topics requiring the participation of experts from more than one Study Group, Joint Working Parties (JWP) or Joint Task Groups (JTG) may be established by the Study Groups as proposed by the relevant Study Group Chairmen, or by decision of the first session of CPM to carry out studies in preparation for the next WRC, as specified in Resolution ITU-R 2.

ITU-R Documentation, as referred to in Annex 2, developed by a JWP or JTG should be jointly approved by the relevant involved Study Groups and any revisions should similarly be jointly approved.

A1.3.2.6 In some cases, when urgent or specific issues arise that require analysis, it might be suitable for a Study Group, Working Party or Task Group to appoint a Rapporteur, with clearly defined terms of reference, who, being an expert, can carry out preliminary studies or conduct a survey among Member States, Sector Members, Associates and Academia participating in the work of the Study Groups, mainly by correspondence. The method used by the Rapporteur, be it via personal study or survey, is not guided by working methods but is the choice of the individual Rapporteur. Therefore, the results of that work are assumed to represent the views of the Rapporteur. It might also be useful to appoint a Rapporteur to prepare draft Recommendation(s) or other ITU-R texts. In this case, the preparation of draft Recommendation(s) or other ITU-R texts should be clearly mentioned in the terms of reference and the Rapporteur should submit the drafts as a contribution to the parent group in sufficient time before the meeting to allow for comments.

A1.3.2.7 A Rapporteur Group may also be established by a Study Group, Working Party or Task Group to handle urgent or specific issues that require analysis. A Rapporteur Group, differs from the Rapporteur in that, in addition to an appointed Rapporteur, the Rapporteur Group has a membership and the results of the Rapporteur Group shall represent the agreed consensus of the Group or reflect the diversity of views of the participants in the Group. A Rapporteur Group must have clearly defined terms of reference. As much work as possible should be performed by correspondence. However, if necessary, a Rapporteur Group may hold a meeting to further its work. The work of the Rapporteur Group shall be conducted with limited support provided by BR.

A1.3.2.8 In addition to the above, in some special cases, the establishment of a Joint Rapporteur Group (JRG) consisting of Rapporteur(s) and other experts from more than one Study Group might be envisaged. A Joint Rapporteur Group should report to the Working Parties or Task Groups of the relevant Study Groups. The provisions in § A1.3.1.7 concerning Joint Rapporteur Groups will apply only to those Joint Rapporteur Groups which have been identified as requiring special support by the Director in consultation with the Chairmen of the relevant Study Groups.

A1.3.2.9 Correspondence Groups may also be established under the leadership of an appointed Correspondence Group Chairman. The Correspondence Group differs from the Rapporteur Group



in that the Correspondence Group performs its work only via electronic correspondence and no meetings are required. A Correspondence Group must have clearly defined Terms of Reference and may be established and its Chairman appointed by a Working Party, a Task Group, a Study Group, CCV, or RAG.

A1.3.2.10 Participation in the work of the Rapporteur Groups, Joint Rapporteur Groups and Correspondence Groups of the Study Groups is open to representatives of Member States, Sector Members, Associates<sup>4</sup> and Academia. Any views expressed and documentation submitted to these groups should indicate the Member State, Sector Member, Associate or Academia, as the case may be, making the submission.

A1.3.2.11 Each Study Group may nominate liaison Rapporteur(s) to the CCV to ensure that the technical vocabulary and the grammar in the approved texts are correct. In that case, the Rapporteur(s) would also ensure that the approved texts are aligned and have the same meaning in the six languages of ITU and are easily comprehensible to all users. The agreed texts are provided by BR to the designated Rapporteur(s) as and when they become available in the official languages.

## **A1.4 The Radiocommunication Advisory Group**

A1.4.1 As stipulated in § A1.2.1.3, specific matters within the competence of the Radiocommunication Assembly, except those relating to the procedures contained in the Radio Regulations, may be assigned to the Radiocommunication Advisory Group for advice on the action required on those matters.

A1.4.2 The Radiocommunication Advisory Group is authorized in accordance with Resolution ITU-R 52 to act on behalf of the Assembly in the period between Assemblies.

A1.4.3 In accordance with No. 160G of the Convention, the Radiocommunication Advisory Group adopts its own working procedures compatible with those adopted by the Radiocommunication Assembly.

A1.4.4 Participation in the work of the Rapporteur Groups and Correspondence Groups of RAG is open to representatives of Member States and Sector Members, and to Chairmen of the Study Groups. Any views expressed and documentation submitted to these groups should indicate the Member State or Sector Member, as the case may be, making the submission.

## **A1.5 Preparations for World and Regional Radiocommunication Conferences**

A1.5.1 The procedures outlined in Resolution ITU-R 2 apply to the preparation for WRCs. As appropriate, they may be adapted by a Radiocommunication Assembly to apply to the case of a Regional Radiocommunication Conference (RRC).

A1.5.2 Preparations for WRCs will be carried out by CPM (see Resolution ITU-R 2).

A1.5.3 In preparation for a WRC or RRC, there may be a need to obtain additional information through a Questionnaire. Questionnaires issued by the Bureau should be limited to the required technical and operational characteristics to perform the necessary studies, unless such questionnaires stem from a decision of a WRC or RRC.

A1.5.4 The Director shall issue, in electronic form, information that will include CPM preparatory documents and final Reports.

---

<sup>4</sup> For the rights of Associates, see Resolution ITU-R 43.

## **A1.6 Other considerations**

### **A1.6.1 Coordination among Study Groups, Sectors and with other international organizations**

#### **A1.6.1.1 Meetings of Study Group Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen**

As soon as practical after each Radiocommunication Assembly, as well as when the need arises, the Director will call a meeting of the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Study Groups and may invite Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Working Parties and other subordinate groups. At the discretion of the Director, other experts may be invited on an *ex-officio* basis. The purpose of the meeting is to ensure the most effective conduct and coordination of the work of the Study Groups, in particular regarding studies in response to relevant ITU-R Resolutions, with the view to avoid duplication of work between several Study Groups. The Director shall serve as Chairman of this meeting. If appropriate, such meetings could be held by electronic means, such as telephone or video conferences or using the Internet.

#### **A1.6.1.2 Liaison Rapporteurs**

Coordination between Study Groups may be ensured by the appointment of Study Group Liaison Rapporteurs to participate in the work of the other Study Groups, the Coordination Committee for Vocabulary or relevant groups of the other two Sectors.

#### **A1.6.1.3 Intersector Groups**

In specific instances, complementary work on certain topics may be conducted by Study Groups in the Radiocommunication Sector, as well as in the Telecommunication Standardization Sector, and the Telecommunication Development Sector. In such circumstances, it may be agreed between the two Sectors or among the three Sectors to establish an Intersector Coordination Group (ICG) or an Intersector Rapporteur Group (IRG). For details on these groups, see Resolutions ITU-R 6 and ITU-R 7.

#### **A1.6.1.4 Other international organizations**

When cooperation and coordination with other international organizations is necessary, the interface shall be provided by the Director. Liaison on specific technical matters, following consultation with the Director, may be carried out by Working Parties or Task Groups, or by a representative appointed by a Study Group. For details on this process, see Resolution ITU-R 9.

### **A1.6.2 Director's Guidelines**

A1.6.2.1 As a complement to this Resolution, it is the duty of the Director to periodically issue updated versions of guidelines on the working methods and procedures within the Radiocommunication Bureau (BR) which may affect the work of Study Groups and their subordinate groups (see *noting*). The guidelines need also to include matters relating to the provision of meetings and correspondence groups, as well as aspects concerning documentation.

A1.6.2.2 The guidelines issued by the Director shall contain guidance on preparation of contributions, the deadlines for their submission and details of the various types of documents, including reports and documents prepared by Chairmen, and liaison statements. The guidelines should also address practical matters concerning the effective distribution of documents by electronic means. The guidelines contain the mandatory common format for new and revised ITU-R Recommendations.

## ANNEX 2

**Documentation of ITU-R**

	<b>Page</b>
A2.1	General principles ..... 12
A2.1.1	Presentation of texts ..... 12
A2.1.2	Publications of texts ..... 12
A2.2	Preparatory documentation and contributions ..... 12
A2.2.1	Preparatory documentation for Radiocommunication Assemblies..... 12
A2.2.2	Preparatory documentation for Radiocommunication Study Groups ..... 13
A2.2.3	Contributions to Radiocommunication Study Groups, the Coordination Committee for Vocabulary and other groups..... 13
A2.3	ITU-R Resolutions ..... 14
A2.3.1	Definition ..... 14
A2.3.2	Adoption and approval ..... 15
A2.3.3	Suppression ..... 15
A2.4	ITU-R Decisions ..... 15
A2.4.1	Definition ..... 15
A2.4.2	Approval..... 15
A2.4.3	Suppression ..... 15
A2.5	ITU-R Questions ..... 15
A2.5.1	Definition ..... 15
A2.5.2	Adoption and approval ..... 15
A2.5.3	Suppression ..... 18
A2.6	ITU-R Recommendations ..... 18
A2.6.1	Definition ..... 18
A2.6.2	Adoption and approval ..... 19
A2.6.3	Suppression ..... 24
A2.7	ITU-R Reports..... 24
A2.7.1	Definition ..... 24
A2.7.2	Approval..... 25
A2.7.3	Suppression ..... 25

	<b>Page</b>
A2.8	ITU-R Handbooks ..... 25
A2.8.1	Definition ..... 25
A2.8.2	Approval..... 25
A2.8.3	Suppression ..... 25
A2.9	ITU-R Opinions ..... 25
A2.9.1	Definition ..... 25
A2.9.2	Approval..... 25
A2.9.3	Suppression ..... 26

## **A2.1 General principles**

In the following sections A2.1.1 and A2.1.2, “texts” is used for ITU-R Resolutions, Decisions, Questions, Recommendations, Reports, Handbooks and Opinions, as defined in §§ A2.3 to A2.9.

### **A2.1.1 Presentation of texts**

A2.1.1.1 Texts should be as brief as possible, taking account of the necessary content, and should relate directly to the Question/topic or part of the Question/topic being studied.

A2.1.1.2 Each text should include a reference to related texts and, where appropriate, to pertinent items of the Radio Regulations, without any interpretation or qualifications of the Radio Regulations or suggesting any change to an allocation status.

A2.1.1.3 Texts shall be presented showing their number (including, for Recommendations and Reports, their series), their title and an indication of the year of their initial approval, and, where appropriate, the year of approval of any revisions.

A2.1.1.4 Annexes, Attachments, and Appendices to any of these texts should be considered equivalent in status, unless otherwise specified.

### **A2.1.2 Publications of texts**

A2.1.2.1 All texts shall be published in electronic form as soon as possible after approval and may also be made available in paper form subject to the publication policy of ITU.

A2.1.2.2 Approved new or revised Recommendations and Questions will be published in the official languages of the Union as soon as practicable. Reports, Handbooks and Opinions will be published, as soon as practicable, in English only or in the six official languages of the Union depending on the decision of the relevant group.

## **A2.2 Preparatory documentation and contributions**

### **A2.2.1 Preparatory documentation for Radiocommunication Assemblies**

Preparatory documentation shall include:

- draft texts, prepared by Study Groups, for approval;

- a Report from the Chairman of each Study Group, CCV, RAG<sup>5</sup> and CPM, reviewing activities since the preceding Radiocommunication Assembly, including from each Study Group Chairman a list of:
  - topics identified to be carried forward to the next study period;
  - Questions and Resolutions for which no input documentation has been received for the period mentioned in § A1.2.1.1 of Annex 1. Should a Study Group believe that a certain Question or Resolution should be maintained, the Report from the Chairman must include an explanation;
- a Report by the Director, which should include proposals for the future work programme;
- a list of Recommendations approved since the previous Radiocommunication Assembly;
- contributions submitted from Member States and Sector Members addressed to the Radiocommunication Assembly.

### **A2.2.2 Preparatory documentation for Radiocommunication Study Groups**

Preparatory documentation shall include:

- any directives issued by the Radiocommunication Assembly with respect to the Study Group, including this Resolution;
- draft Recommendations and other texts (as defined in §§ A2.3 to A2.9) prepared by Working Parties or Task Groups;
- Chairman's executive reports from each Working Party, Task Group and Rapporteur Group, summarizing the progress and conclusions of any work carried out by the group since the previous meeting and the work to be accomplished at the next meeting (these reports may also include considerations about the procedure to be followed for adoption and approval of the draft Recommendations to be considered by the meeting (see § A2.6));
- the contributions to be considered at the meeting;
- documentation prepared by the Bureau, particularly of an organizational or procedural nature, for clarification purposes or in response to Study Group requests;
- the summary record of the preceding meeting;
- an outline agenda indicating: draft Recommendations to be considered, draft Questions to be considered, reports from Working Parties and Task Groups to be received, and draft Decisions, draft Opinions, draft Handbooks and draft Reports to be approved.

### **A2.2.3 Contributions to Radiocommunication Study Groups, the Coordination Committee for Vocabulary and other groups**

A2.2.3.1 For meetings of all Study Groups, the Coordination Committee for Vocabulary and their subordinate groups (Working Parties, Task Groups, etc.), the following deadlines apply for the submission of contributions:

- *where translation is required*, contributions should be received at least three months prior to the meeting, and will be made available not later than four weeks before the

---

<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to No. 160I of the Convention, RAG prepares a Report for the Radiocommunication Assembly, submitted through the Director of BR.

meeting. For the second session of CPM, contributions should be received at least two months prior to the meeting (see Resolution ITU-R 2). For later contributions, no commitment can be made by the Secretariat to ensure the document will be available at the opening of the meeting in all the required languages;

- otherwise, for documents *not requiring translation*, contributions (including Revisions, Addenda and Corrigenda to contributions) shall be received not later than seven calendar days (1600 hours UTC) prior to the start of the meeting to be made available for the opening of the meeting. For the second session of CPM, the deadline for submission is 14 calendar days (1600 hours UTC) prior to the meeting. The deadline applies only to contributions from the membership. The secretariat shall post contributions as received on a webpage established for this purpose within one working day, and post within three working days the official versions on the website once reformatted. The membership should submit their contributions using the template published by ITU-R.

The secretariat cannot accept submissions later than the aforementioned deadline. Documents not available at the opening of a meeting cannot be discussed at the meeting.

A2.2.3.2 Contributions shall be provided to the Director electronically, with some exceptions for developing countries unable to do so. The Director may return a document that does not comply with the guidelines, for it to be brought into line.

A2.2.3.3 Contributions should be sent to the Chairman and Vice-Chairmen, if any, of the group concerned as well as to the Chairman and Vice-Chairmen of the Study Group.

A2.2.3.4 Each contribution should clearly indicate the Question, Resolution or topic and the group (e.g. Study Group, Working Party, Task Group) for which it is intended, and be accompanied by the details of a contact person as may be needed to clarify the contribution.

A2.2.3.5 Contributions should be limited in length (if possible, less than ten pages) and be prepared using standard word-processing software, without using any auto-formatting facility; modifications to existing text should be indicated by means of revision marks (using “Track Changes”).

A2.2.3.6 Following the meetings of Working Parties or Task Groups, the Chairmen of the groups concerned shall prepare a report for their future meetings giving information regarding progress made and work in progress. These Reports should be prepared within one month of the end of the meeting concerned. In addition, annexes to a Chairman’s Report, which contain draft texts for which further study is needed, should be issued by BR within two weeks of the end of the meeting.

A2.2.3.7 When articles are referred to in documents submitted to the Radiocommunication Bureau, such references or bibliography should refer to published materials which are readily available through library services.

## **A2.3 ITU-R Resolutions**

### **A2.3.1 Definition**

A text giving instructions on the organization, methods or programmes of Radiocommunication Assembly or Study Group work.

### **A2.3.2 Adoption and approval**

A2.3.2.1 Each Study Group may adopt, by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group, draft revised or new Resolutions for approval by the Radiocommunication Assembly.

A2.3.2.2 The Radiocommunication Assembly shall review and may approve revised or new ITU-R Resolutions.

### **A2.3.3 Suppression**

A2.3.3.1 Each Study Group as well as the Radiocommunication Advisory Group may propose, by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group, to the Radiocommunication Assembly to suppress a Resolution. Such a proposal shall be accompanied by supporting explanations.

A2.3.3.2 The Radiocommunication Assembly may suppress Resolutions based on proposals from the membership, Study Groups or the Radiocommunication Advisory Group.

## **A2.4 ITU-R Decisions**

### **A2.4.1 Definition**

A text giving instructions on the organization of the work of a Study Group.

### **A2.4.2 Approval**

Each Study Group may approve, by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group, revised or new Decisions.

### **A2.4.3 Suppression**

Each Study Group may delete Decisions by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group.

## **A2.5 ITU-R Questions**

### **A2.5.1 Definition**

A statement of a technical, operational or procedural study, generally seeking a Recommendation, Report or Handbook (see Resolution ITU-R 5). Each Question shall indicate in a concise form the reason for the study and specify the scope of the study as precisely as possible. It should also, to the extent practicable, include a work programme (i.e. milestones for the progress of the study and expected date of completion) and indicate the form in which the response should be prepared (e.g. as a Recommendation or other text, etc.).

### **A2.5.2 Adoption and approval**

#### **A2.5.2.1 General considerations**

A2.5.2.1.1 New or revised Questions, proposed within Study Groups, may be adopted by a Study Group according to the process contained in § A2.5.2.2, and approved:

- by the Radiocommunication Assembly (see Resolution ITU-R 5);
- by consultation in the interval between Radiocommunication Assemblies, after adoption by a Study Group, according to provisions contained in § A2.5.2.3.

A2.5.2.1.2 Study Groups will evaluate draft new Questions proposed for adoption against the guidelines set forth in § A1.3.1.16 of Annex 1 and will include such evaluation when submitting them to administrations for approval according to this Resolution.

A2.5.2.1.3 Each Question shall be assigned to only one Study Group.

A2.5.2.1.4 Concerning new or revised Questions approved by the Radiocommunication Assembly on topics referred to it by the Plenipotentiary Conference, any other conference, the Council or the Radio Regulations Board, pursuant to No. 129 of the Convention, the Director shall, as soon as possible, consult with the Study Group Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen and shall determine the appropriate Study Group to which the Question shall be assigned, and the urgency for the studies.

A2.5.2.1.5 The Study Group Chairman, in consultation with the Vice-Chairmen, shall, to the extent possible, assign the Question to a single Working Party or Task Group or, dependent upon the urgency of a new Question, shall propose the establishment of a new Task Group, (see § A1.3.2.4 of Annex 1), or shall decide to refer the Question to the next Study Group meeting. In order to avoid duplication of effort, in cases where a Question is relevant to more than one Working Party, a specific Working Party responsible for consolidating and coordinating the texts shall be identified.

#### **A2.5.2.1.6 Updating or deletion of ITU-R Questions**

A2.5.2.1.6.1 In view of translation and production costs, any updating of ITU-R Questions for which substantial revision has not been made within the last 10-15 years should, as far as possible, be avoided.

A2.5.2.1.6.2 Radiocommunication Study Groups should continue to review their Questions, particularly older texts, and, if they are found to be no longer necessary or obsolete, should propose their revision or deletion. In this process, the following factors should be taken into account:

- if the contents of the Questions still have validity, are they really so useful as to be continuously applicable to ITU-R?
- is there another Question developed later which handles the same (or quite similar) topic(s) and could cover the points included in the old text?
- in the case that only a part of the Question is regarded as still useful, the possibility to transfer the relevant part to another Question developed later.

A2.5.2.1.6.3 To facilitate the review work, the Director shall endeavour, before each Radiocommunication Assembly, in consultation with the Chairmen of the Study Groups, to prepare lists of ITU-R Questions that may be identified in § A2.5.2.1.6.1. After the review by the relevant Study Groups, the results should be reported to the next Radiocommunication Assembly through the Chairmen of the Study Groups.

#### **A2.5.2.2 Adoption**

##### **A2.5.2.2.1 Main elements regarding the adoption of a new or revised Question**

A2.5.2.2.1.1 A draft Question (new or revised) shall be considered to be adopted by the Study Group if not opposed by any delegation representing a Member State attending the meeting. If a delegation of a Member State opposes the adoption, the Chairman of the Study Group shall consult with the delegation concerned in order for the objection to be resolved. In the case where the Chairman of the Study Group cannot resolve the objection, the Member State shall provide in written form the reason(s) for its objection.



### **A2.5.2.2.2 Procedure for adoption at a Study Group meeting**

A2.5.2.2.2.1 A Study Group may adopt draft new or revised Questions, when their texts are available in electronic form at the start of the Study Group meeting.

### **A2.5.2.3 Approval**

A2.5.2.3.1 When a draft new or revised Question has been adopted by a Study Group, by the procedures given in § A2.5.2.2, then the text shall be submitted for approval by Member States.

A2.5.2.3.2 Approval of new or revised Questions may be sought:

- by consultation of the Member States as soon as the text has been adopted by the relevant Study Group;
- if justified, at a Radiocommunication Assembly.

A2.5.2.3.3 At the Study Group meeting where a draft new or revised Question is adopted, the Study Group shall decide to submit the draft new or revised Question for approval either at the next Radiocommunication Assembly or by consultation of the Member States.

A2.5.2.3.4 When it is decided to submit a draft new or revised Question for approval, with detailed justification, to the Radiocommunication Assembly, the Study Group Chairman shall inform the Director and request that he takes the necessary action to ensure that it is included in the agenda for the Assembly.

A2.5.2.3.5 When it is decided to submit a draft new or revised Question for approval by consultation, the following conditions and procedures apply:

A2.5.2.3.5.1 For the application of the approval procedure by consultation, within one month of a Study Group's adoption of a draft new or revised Question, according to § A2.5.2.2, the Director shall request Member States to indicate within two months whether they approve or do not approve the proposal. This request shall be accompanied by the complete final text of the draft new or revised Question.

A2.5.2.3.5.2 The Director shall also inform Sector Members participating in the work of the relevant Study Group under the provisions of Article 19 of the Convention, that Member States are being asked to respond to a consultation on a proposed new or revised Question. This information should be accompanied by the complete final texts for information only.

A2.5.2.3.5.3 If 70 per cent or more of the replies from Member States indicate approval, the proposal shall be accepted. If the proposal is not accepted, it shall be referred back to the Study Group.

Any comments received along with responses to the consultation shall be collected by the Director and submitted to the Study Group for consideration.

A2.5.2.3.5.4 Those Member States who indicate that they do not approve the draft new or revised Question shall provide their reasons and should be invited to participate in the future consideration by the Study Group and its Working Parties and Task Groups.

A2.5.2.3.6 Should minor, purely editorial amendments or correction of evident oversights or inconsistencies in the text as presented for approval be necessary, the Director may correct these with the agreement of the Chairman of the relevant Study Group(s).

#### **A2.5.2.4 Editorial amendments**

A2.5.2.4.1 Radiocommunication Study Groups are encouraged, where appropriate, to editorially update Questions in order to reflect recent changes, such as:

- ITU structural changes;
- renumbering of Radio Regulation provisions<sup>6</sup>, provided the Radio Regulation provision text is not changed;
- updating of cross-references between ITU-R texts.

A2.5.2.4.2 Editorial amendments should not be regarded as draft revisions of Questions as specified in §§ A2.5.2.2 to A2.5.2.3, but each editorially updated Questions should be accompanied, until the next revision, by a footnote stating “Radiocommunication Study Group (*nomenclature of Study Group to be inserted as appropriate*) made editorial amendments to this Question in the year (*insert year in which amendments have been made*) in accordance with Resolution ITU-R 1”.

A2.5.2.4.3 Each Study Group may editorially update Questions, by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group. Should one or more Member State(s) consider that the amendment is more than an editorial update and object to it, the procedures for adoption and approval of draft revisions specified in §§ A2.5.2.2 to A2.5.2.3 should apply.

#### **A2.5.3 Suppression**

A2.5.3.1 Each Study Group shall identify, to the Director, Questions that may be suppressed because studies have been completed, may no longer be necessary or have been superseded. Decisions to delete Questions should take into account the status of telecommunication technology, which may differ from country to country and between Regions.

A2.5.3.2 The deletion of existing Questions shall follow a two-stage process:

- agreement to the deletion by a Study Group if no delegation representing a Member State attending the meeting opposes the deletion;
- following this agreement to delete, approval by Member States, by consultation, or forward of the relevant proposals to the next Radiocommunication Assembly, with justification for the action.

Approval of the deletion of Questions by consultation shall be undertaken by using the procedures described in § A2.5.2.3. The Questions proposed for deletion may be listed in the same Administrative Circular treating draft Questions under these procedures.

### **A2.6 ITU-R Recommendations**

#### **A2.6.1 Definition**

An answer to a Question, part(s) of a Question or topics referred to in § A1.3.1.2 of Annex 1, which, within the scope of existing knowledge, research and available information, normally provides recommended specifications, requirements, data or guidance for recommended ways of undertaking a specified task; or recommended procedures for a specified application, and which is considered to be sufficient to serve as a basis for international cooperation in a given context in the field of radiocommunications.

As a result of further studies, taking into account developments and new knowledge in the field of radiocommunications, Recommendations are expected to be revised and updated (see § A2.6.2).

---

<sup>6</sup> The Radiocommunication Bureau should be consulted in this respect.

However, in the interests of stability, Recommendations should not normally be revised more frequently than every two years, unless the proposed revision, which complements rather than changes the agreement reached in the previous version, urgently needs to be included, or unless significant errors or omissions are identified.

Each Recommendation should include a brief “scope” clarifying the objective of the Recommendation. The scope should remain in the text of the Recommendation after its approval.

NOTE 1 – When Recommendations provide information on various systems relating to one particular radio application, they should be based on criteria relevant to the application, and should include, where possible, an evaluation of the recommended systems, using those criteria. In such cases, the relevant criteria and other pertinent information are to be determined, as appropriate, within the Study Group.

NOTE 2 – Recommendations should be drafted taking account of the Common Patent Policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC on intellectual property rights, available at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/dbase/patent/patent-policy.html>.

NOTE 3 – Study Groups may develop wholly within the Study Group itself, without the need for concurrence by other Study Groups, Recommendations that include “protection criteria” for radiocommunication services within their mandate. However, Study Groups developing Recommendations that include sharing criteria for radiocommunication services must obtain agreement, prior to their adoption, of the Study Groups responsible for those services.

NOTE 4 – A Recommendation may contain certain definitions of specific terms that do not necessarily apply elsewhere; however the applicability of the definitions should be clearly explained in the Recommendation.

NOTE 5 – References to ITU-R Reports in a Recommendation are of an informative nature.

## **A2.6.2 Adoption and approval**

### **A2.6.2.1 General considerations**

A2.6.2.1.1 When a study has reached a mature state, based on a consideration of existing ITU-R documentation and of contributions from Member States, Sector Members, Associates or Academia, and has resulted in a draft new or revised Recommendation as agreed by the appropriate Working Party, Task Group or Joint Task Group, as the case may be, the approval process to be followed is in two stages:

- adoption by the Study Group concerned; dependent on circumstances, the adoption may take place at a Study Group meeting or by correspondence following the Study Group meeting (see § A2.6.2.2);
- following adoption, approval by the Member States, either by consultation between Radiocommunication Assemblies or at a Radiocommunication Assembly (see § A2.6.2.3).

If there is no objection by any Member State attending the meeting, when adoption of a draft new or revised Recommendation is sought by correspondence, its approval is undertaken simultaneously (PSAA procedure). This procedure shall not be applied to ITU-R Recommendations incorporated by reference in the Radio Regulations.

A2.6.2.1.2 There may be exceptional circumstances where no Study Group meeting has been scheduled at a suitable time prior to a Radiocommunication Assembly, and where a Working Party or Task Group has prepared draft proposals for new or revised Recommendations which require urgent action. In these cases, if at its previous meeting the Study Group decides, the Study Group

Chairman may submit such proposals directly to the Radiocommunication Assembly with justification, and should outline the reasons for such urgent action.

A2.6.2.1.3 Approval may only be sought for a draft new or revised Recommendation within the Study Group's mandate as defined by the Questions allocated to it in accordance with Nos. 129 and 149 of the Convention or by topics within the scope of Study Group (see § A1.3.1.2 of Annex 1). Approval may however also be sought for revision of an existing Recommendation within the Study Group's mandate for which no current Question exists.

A2.6.2.1.4 Where a draft Recommendation (or revision) falls, exceptionally, within the scope of more than one Study Group, the Chairman of the Study Group proposing the approval should consult and take into account the views of all the other Study Group Chairmen concerned before proceeding with the procedures below. Where a draft Recommendation (or revision) has been developed by a Joint Working Party or a Joint Task Group (see § A1.3.2.5 of Annex 1), all the relevant Study Groups shall agree the draft Recommendation or adopt it according to the procedures for adoption specified in section A2.6.2.2. In cases where adoption has been reached by all the relevant Study Groups, the procedures for approval specified in section A2.6.2.3 shall be applied only once. Otherwise, the procedures for simultaneous adoption and approval by correspondence specified in section A2.6.2.4 shall be applied only once.

A2.6.2.1.5 The Director shall promptly notify, by circular letter, the results of the above procedure, indicating the date of entry into force, as appropriate.

A2.6.2.1.6 Should minor, purely editorial amendments or the correction of evident oversights or inconsistencies in the text be necessary, the Director may correct these with the agreement of the Chairman of the relevant Study Group(s).

A2.6.2.1.7 Any Member State or Sector Member considering itself to be adversely affected by a Recommendation approved in the course of a study period may refer its case to the Director, who shall submit it to the relevant Study Group for prompt attention.

A2.6.2.1.8 The Director shall inform the next Radiocommunication Assembly of all cases notified in conformity with § A2.6.2.1.7.

#### **A2.6.2.1.9 Updating or deletion of ITU-R Recommendations**

A2.6.2.1.9.1 In view of translation and production costs, any updating of ITU-R Recommendations for which substantial revision has not been made within the last 10-15 years should, as far as possible, be avoided.

A2.6.2.1.9.2 Radiocommunication Study Groups (including CCV) should continue to review maintained Recommendations, particularly older texts, and, if they are found to be no longer necessary or obsolete, should propose their revision or deletion. In this process, the following factors should be taken into account:

- if the contents of the Recommendations still have validity, are they really so useful as to be continuously applicable to ITU-R?
- is there another Recommendation developed later which handles the same (or quite similar) topic(s) and could cover the points included in the old text?
- in the case that only a part of the Recommendation is regarded as still useful, the possibility to transfer the relevant part to another Recommendation developed later.

A2.6.2.1.9.3 To facilitate the review work, the Director shall endeavour, before each Radiocommunication Assembly, in consultation with the Chairmen of the Study Groups, to prepare lists of ITU-R Recommendations that may be identified in § A2.6.2.1.9.1. After the review by the

relevant Study Groups, the results should be reported to the next Radiocommunication Assembly through the Chairmen of the Study Groups.

## **A2.6.2.2 Adoption**

### **A2.6.2.2.1 Main elements regarding the adoption of a new or revised Recommendation**

A2.6.2.2.1.1 A draft Recommendation (new or revised) shall be considered to be adopted by the Study Group if not opposed by any delegation representing a Member State attending the meeting or responding to the correspondence. If a delegation of a Member State opposes the adoption, the Chairman of the Study Group shall consult with the delegation concerned in order for the objection to be resolved. In the case where the Chairman of the Study Group cannot resolve the objection, the Member State shall provide in written form the reason(s) for its objection.

A2.6.2.2.1.2 If there is an objection to the text that cannot be resolved, one of the following procedures, whichever is applicable, shall be followed:

- a) if there is another meeting of the Study Group before the Radiocommunication Assembly, the Chairman of the Study Group shall refer the text back to the Working Party or Task Group, as appropriate, giving the reasons for such objection so that the matter may be considered and resolved in the relevant meeting;
- b) if there is no other Study Group meeting scheduled before the Radiocommunication Assembly, the Chairman of the Study Group, after having ensured that the relevant provisions of this Resolution have been applied, shall forward the text to the Radiocommunication Assembly, except if the Study Group agrees otherwise. The Chairman shall accompany the draft Recommendation with a report describing the situation, including the concerns that were raised and their associated reasons, and inviting the Radiocommunication Assembly to make its utmost efforts to resolve the matter by consensus.

In all cases, the Radiocommunication Bureau shall send, as soon as possible, to the Radiocommunication Assembly, Working Party or Task Group, as appropriate, the reasons given by the Study Group Chairman, in consultation with the Director, for the decision and the detailed objection from the administration that objected to the draft new or revised Recommendation.

### **A2.6.2.2.2 Procedure for adoption at a Study Group meeting**

A2.6.2.2.2.1 Upon request of the Study Group Chairman, the Director shall explicitly indicate the intention to seek adoption of new or revised Recommendations at a Study Group meeting when announcing the convening of the relevant Study Group meeting. The announcement shall include summaries of the proposals (i.e. summaries of the new or revised Recommendations). Reference shall be provided to the document where the text of the draft of the new or revised Recommendation may be found.

If this information has not been included in that announcement, it shall be distributed to all Member States and Sector Members and should be sent by the Director so that it shall be received, so far as practicable, at least four weeks before the meeting.

A2.6.2.2.2.2 A Study Group may adopt draft new or revised Recommendations, when their texts have been prepared sufficiently far in advance of the Study Group meeting so that they will have been available in electronic form at least four weeks prior to the start of the Study Group meeting.

A2.6.2.2.3 The Study Group should agree on summaries of draft new Recommendations and summaries of draft revisions to Recommendations, these summaries being included in subsequent Administrative Circulars relating to the approval process.

#### **A2.6.2.2.3 Procedure for adoption by a Study Group by correspondence**

A2.6.2.2.3.1 When a draft new or revised Recommendation has not been anticipated for specific inclusion in the agenda of a Study Group meeting, the participants at the Study Group meeting may decide, after due consideration, to seek adoption of the draft new or revised Recommendation by the Study Group by correspondence (see also § A1.3.1.6 of Annex 1).

A2.6.2.2.3.2 The Study Group should agree on summaries of draft new Recommendations and summaries of draft revisions to Recommendations.

A2.6.2.2.3.3 Immediately following the Study Group meeting, the Director should circulate these draft new or revised Recommendations to all Member States and Sector Members participating in the work of the Study Group for full Study Group consideration by correspondence.

A2.6.2.2.3.4 The period for Study Group consideration shall be two months following the circulation of the draft new or revised Recommendations.

A2.6.2.2.3.5 If, within this period for Study Group consideration, no objections are received from Member States, the draft new or revised Recommendation shall be considered to be adopted by the Study Group.

A2.6.2.2.3.6 A Member State objecting to the adoption shall inform the Director and the Chairman of the Study Group of the reasons for the objection, and, when the objection cannot be resolved, the Director shall make the reasons available to the next meeting of the Study Group and its relevant Working Party.

#### **A2.6.2.3 Approval**

A2.6.2.3.1 When a draft new or revised Recommendation has been adopted by a Study Group, by the procedures given in § A2.6.2.2, then the text shall be submitted for approval by Member States.

A2.6.2.3.2 Approval of new or revised Recommendations may be sought:

- by consultation of the Member States as soon as the text has been adopted by the relevant Study Group at its meeting or by correspondence;
- if justified, at a Radiocommunication Assembly.

A2.6.2.3.3 At the Study Group meeting where a draft new or revised Recommendation is adopted or where it is decided to seek adoption by Study Group by correspondence, the Study Group shall decide to submit the draft new or revised Recommendation for approval either at the next Radiocommunication Assembly or by consultation of the Member States, unless the Study Group has decided to use the procedure for simultaneous adoption and approval (PSAA) procedure as described in § A2.6.2.4.

A2.6.2.3.4 When it is decided to submit a draft new or revised Recommendation for approval, with detailed justification, to the Radiocommunication Assembly, the Study Group Chairman shall inform the Director and request that he takes the necessary action to ensure that it is included in the agenda for the Assembly.

A2.6.2.3.5 When it is decided to submit a draft new or revised Recommendation for approval by consultation, the following conditions and procedures apply:

A2.6.2.3.5.1 For the application of the approval procedure by consultation, within one month of a Study Group's adoption of a draft new or revised Recommendation, according to one of the

methods in § A2.6.2.2, the Director shall request Member States to indicate within two months whether they approve or do not approve the proposal. This request shall be accompanied by the complete final text of the draft new Recommendation, or the complete final text, or modified parts of, the revised Recommendation.

A2.6.2.3.5.2 The Director shall also inform Sector Members participating in the work of the relevant Study Group under the provisions of Article 19 of the Convention, that Member States are being asked to respond to a consultation on a proposed new or revised Recommendation. This information should be accompanied by the complete final texts, or revised parts of the texts, for information only.

A2.6.2.3.5.3 If 70 per cent or more of the replies from Member States indicate approval, the proposal shall be accepted. If the proposal is not accepted, it shall be referred back to the Study Group.

Any comments received along with responses to the consultation shall be collected by the Director and submitted to the Study Group for consideration.

A2.6.2.3.5.4 Those Member States who indicate that they do not approve the draft new or revised Recommendation shall provide their reasons and should be invited to participate in the future consideration by the Study Group and its Working Parties and Task Groups.

A2.6.2.3.6 Should minor, purely editorial amendments or correction of evident oversights or inconsistencies in the text as presented for approval be necessary, the Director may correct these with the agreement of the Chairman of the relevant Study Group(s).

#### **A2.6.2.4 Simultaneous adoption and approval by correspondence**

A2.6.2.4.1 When a Study Group is not in a position to adopt the draft new or revised Recommendation according to the provisions of §§ A2.6.2.2.2.1 and A2.6.2.2.2.2, the Study Group shall use the procedure for simultaneous adoption and approval (PSAA) by correspondence, if there is no objection by any Member State attending the meeting.

A2.6.2.4.2 Immediately following the Study Group meeting, the Director should circulate these draft new or revised Recommendations to all Member States and to Sector Members.

A2.6.2.4.3 The period for consideration shall be two months following the circulation of the draft new or revised Recommendations.

A2.6.2.4.4 If, within this period for consideration, no objection is received from a Member State, the draft new or revised Recommendation shall be considered to be adopted by the Study Group. Since the PSAA procedure has been followed, such adoption is considered to constitute approval and the procedure for approval in § A2.6.2.3 is unnecessary.

A2.6.2.4.5 If, within this period for consideration, an objection is received from a Member State and cannot be resolved, the draft new or revised Recommendation shall be considered as not adopted, and the procedure described in § A2.6.2.2.1.2 shall apply. A Member State objecting to the adoption shall inform the Director and the Chairman of the Study Group of the reasons for the objection, and, when the objection cannot be resolved, the Director shall make the reasons available to the next meeting of the Study Group and its relevant Working Party.

#### **A2.6.2.5 Editorial amendments**

A2.6.2.5.1 Radiocommunication Study Groups (including CCV) are encouraged, where appropriate, to editorially update maintained Recommendations in order to reflect recent changes, such as:

- ITU structural changes;

- renumbering of Radio Regulation provisions<sup>7</sup>, provided the Radio Regulation provision text is not changed;
- updating of cross-references between ITU-R Recommendations;
- deleting references to Questions that are no longer in force.

A2.6.2.5.2 Editorial amendments should not be regarded as draft revisions of Recommendations as specified in §§ A2.6.2.2 to A2.6.2.4, but each editorially updated Recommendation should be accompanied, until the next revision, by a footnote stating “Radiocommunication Study Group (*nomenclature of Study Group to be inserted as appropriate*) made editorial amendments to this Recommendation in the year (*insert year in which amendments have been made*) in accordance with Resolution ITU-R 1”.

A2.6.2.5.3 Each Study Group may editorially update Recommendations, by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group. Should one or more Member State(s) consider that the amendment is more than an editorial update and object to it, the procedures for adoption and approval of draft revisions specified in §§ A2.6.2.2 to A2.6.2.4 should apply.

A2.6.2.5.4 Furthermore, editorial updating shall not be applied to the updating of ITU-R Recommendations incorporated by reference in the Radio Regulations. Such updating of ITU-R Recommendations shall be made through the two steps of adoption and approval procedures specified in §§ A2.6.2.2 and A2.6.2.3 of this Resolution.

### **A2.6.3 Suppression**

A2.6.3.1 Each Study Group is encouraged to review the maintained Recommendations and, if they are found no longer necessary, should propose their deletion. Decisions to delete Recommendations should take into account the status of telecommunication technology, which may differ from country to country and between Regions. Therefore, even if some administrations are in favour of suppressing an old Recommendation, technical/operational requirements addressed in that Recommendation may still be important for some other administrations.

A2.6.3.2 The deletion of existing Recommendations shall follow a two-stage process:

- agreement to the deletion by a Study Group if no delegation representing a Member State attending the meeting opposes the deletion;
- following this agreement to delete, approval by Member States, by consultation.

Approval of the deletion of Recommendations by consultation may be undertaken when using either of the procedures described in § A2.6.2.3 or § A2.6.2.4. The Recommendations proposed for deletion may be listed in the same Administrative Circular treating draft Recommendations under either of these two procedures.

## **A2.7 ITU-R Reports**

### **A2.7.1 Definition**

A technical, operational or procedural statement, prepared by a Study Group on a given subject related to a current Question or the results of studies without Questions referred to in § A1.3.1.2 of Annex 1.

---

<sup>7</sup> The Radiocommunication Bureau should be consulted in this respect.



### **A2.7.2 Approval**

A2.7.2.1 Each Study Group may approve revised or new Reports, normally by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group.

After all efforts to reach consensus have been exhausted, the Study Group may approve the draft Report and the Chairman of the Study Group will invite the objecting Member State to include a statement in the Report and/or in the Summary Record of the Study Group meeting, at the discretion of that Member State.

Any statement from a Member State contained in the draft Report shall be maintained, unless the Member State having made the statement formally agrees otherwise.

A2.7.2.2 New or revised Reports developed jointly by more than one Study Group shall be approved by all the relevant Study Groups.

### **A2.7.3 Suppression**

Each Study Group may delete Reports by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group.

## **A2.8 ITU-R Handbooks**

### **A2.8.1 Definition**

A text which provides a statement of the current knowledge, the present position of studies, or of good operating or technical practice, in certain aspects of radiocommunications, which should be addressed to a radio engineer, system planner or operating official who plans, designs or uses radio services or systems, paying particular attention to the requirements of developing countries. It should be self-contained, require no familiarity with other ITU Radiocommunication texts or procedures, but should not duplicate the scope and content of publications readily available outside ITU.

### **A2.8.2 Approval**

Each Study Group may approve revised or new Handbooks by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group. The Study Group may authorize its concerned subordinate group to approve Handbooks.

### **A2.8.3 Suppression**

Each Study Group may delete Handbooks by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group.

## **A2.9 ITU-R Opinions**

### **A2.9.1 Definition**

A text containing a proposal or a request destined for another organization (such as other Sectors of ITU, international organizations, etc.) and not necessarily relating to a technical subject.

### **A2.9.2 Approval**

Each Study Group may approve revised or new Opinions by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group.

**A2.9.3 Suppression**

Each Study Group may delete Opinions by consensus of all Member States attending the meeting of the Study Group.