World Trade Organization

Dispute Settlement in the WTO

Members have to abide by the rules and procedures of the Dispute Settlement Understanding. (Article 23.1 of the DSU)



ITU Regional Regulatory Seminar Dalian, China 5 - 8 August 2002

Lothar Ehring WTO Appellate Body Secretariat

Dispute settlement in the WTO: Overview

- Who can have recourse to it? (Members)
- When? (Member considers benefits resulting from agreements are being impaired)
- Which agreements?

- Compulsory jurisdiction
- Supranationality
- Detailed procedures
- Possible appeal
- Implementation aimed at securing conformity of measures

Dispute settlement in the WTO Overview

• An integrated system:

- Applies to all the multilateral agreements
- A single set of rules for all disputes
- Only a few specific rules in some agreements

Disputes by Agreement



Dispute settlement in the WTO Overview

- Nullification or impairment of any benefit by measures taken by another Member
- Measure infringes
 WTO obligation? ⇒
 Presumed
- Measure does not infringe WTO obligation? ⇒ to be proved by the claimant



Dispute settlement in the WTO The panel Process

Main stages

Consultation phase
Panel and Appellate Body review
Adoption of report by the DSB
Implementation

Dispute Settlement in the WTO Consultations

• The request for consultations:

 Indicates the reasons for the request: identification of the measures, legal basis for complaint



 Notified to DSB and circulated to all Members in "WT/DS" document series Dispute settlement in the WTO: Consultations

• Confidential consultations, between the Members concerned

• Undertaking to "accord sympathetic consideration to and accord adequate opportunity for consultation..." (Art. 4:1 DSU)

Dispute settlement in the WTO: Consultations

- In some instances, other Members can request to be joined in the consultations
- Consultations requested under <u>Article XXII</u> of GATT or corresponding provision
- Substantial trade interest
- Request to be made within 10 days of initial request for consultations

Dispute settlement in the WTO: Consultations Deadlines

- Answer to request for consultations: 10 days
- beginning of consultations: 30 days (from receipt of request)

- If consultations fail to resolve the matter within 60 days from receipt of request.....
- Or if no response or no entering into consultations
- A request for establishment of a panel can be made

Dispute settlement in the WTO: Consultations

 In many instances, disputes have been resolved at the consultation stage, without further proceedings:



- 262 Disputes (Consultation Requests)
- 97 Panels established
- 71 Panel Reports adopted
- 48 Appellate Body Reports adopted
- 11 Disputes on compliance (Implementation)
- 5 Arbitrations on "Retaliation" (Suspension of Concessions)
- 5 Authorizations of "Retaliation" (Suspension of Concessions)

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Establishment of panels

- The request for establishment of a panel:
 - "identify the specific measures at issue and provide a brief summary of the legal basis of the problem sufficient to present the problem clearly" (Art 6.2)
- Establishment at the latest at "DSB meeting following that at which the request first appears on the DSB's agenda", by reverse consensus (Art. 6.1 DSU)



Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Terms of reference and composition of panels

- Terms of reference: Panel Composition:
- Standard terms of reference
- Special terms of reference



- "well-qualified government and /or nongovernmental individuals"
- Secretariat proposals
- Indicative list of panellists
- Nomination by DG

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Multiple complainants (Art. 9 DSU)

- When feasible, **single panel** to examine more than one request relating to the same matter
- If separate panels to examine same matter, same persons as panellists and harmonization of time-tables, to greatest extent possible
- Examples: US Shrimp, EC Hormones

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Panel procedures (1)

- Working Procedures (DSU Appendix 3)
- Submissions and meetings
- Issuance of descriptive part to parties
- Interim review
- Final report issued to parties
- Final report circulated to all Members

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Panel Procedures (2)

• Function of panels (Art. 11 DSU):

 Objective assessment of the matter before it, including facts and the applicability of and conformity with the relevant agreements

• What should the report contain? (Art. 12.7)

- Findings of fact, applicability of relevant provisions and basic rationale supporting any finding and recommendation.

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Panel Procedures: deadlines

- As a general rule, **6** months from composition/terms of reference to issuance of to consideration of final report of the panel to the parties
- As a general practice, **9 months** from establishment of panel report for adoption (if no appeal)
 - 12 months where report is appealed

• (Art. 12.8 DSU)

• (Art. 20 DSU)

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Panel Procedures (3)

- Third parties: "substantial interest" (Art. 10)
 Difference with consultation phase
- Right to seek information (Art. 13)
- Expert review groups (Appendix 4)
- Confidentiality (Art. 14)

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Adoption of Panel Reports

- Panel reports not considered for adoption until 20 days after circulation
- Adoption within 60 days of circulation, by reverse consensus....



• ... Except if appealed

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Appellate Review

• Standing Appellate Body



 Appeals limited to "issues of law and legal interpretations developed by the panel" (Art. 17.6 DSU)

• Appeal only open to parties to the dispute

Standing Appellate Body (AB)

- Composition: 7 Members
- Appointed by the DSB
- Term of Office: 4 years (renewable once)
- Requirements:
 - demonstrated expertise in law, international trade
 - unaffiliated with Member government

The 7 Current Members of the AB



- Prof. Yasuhei Taniguchi, Japan;
- Prof. Giorgio Sacerdoti, Italy (European Communities);
- Mr. A.V. Ganesan, India;
- Mr. James Bacchus, United States (current Chairman);
- Prof. Georges Abi-Saab, Egypt;
- Mr. Luiz Olavo Baptista, Brazil;
- Mr. John Lockhart, Australia.

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Appellate Review (2)

• **Report of the Appellate Body**:

 "The Appellate Body may uphold, modify or reverse the legal findings and conclusions of the panel" (Art. 17.12 DSU)

• Adoption of Appellate Body report: by reverse consensus within 30 days of circulation to Members

Timetable for Appeals

Dor

	Day
Notice of Appeal	0
Appellant's Submission	10
Other Appellant(s) Submission(s)	15
Appellee(s) Submission(s)	25
Third Participant(s) Submission(s)	25
Oral Hearing	ca. 30
Circulation of Appellate Body Report	60 - 90
DSB Meeting for Adoption	90 - 120

*Article 4.9 of the *SCM Agreement:* "Where a panel report is appealed, the Appellate Body shall issue its decision within 30 days from the date when the party to the dispute formally notifies its intention to appeal. [...] In no case shall the proceedings exceed 60 days."

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Implementation (1)

- **Recommendations**:
- If <u>violation</u>: recommendation that the Member bring the measures into conformity
- If <u>No violation</u> (but impairment): mutually satisfactory adjustment
- Within 30 days of adoption of report, Member concerned informs the DSB of its intentions in respect of implementation of the recommendations and rulings (Art. 21.3)

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Immediate compliance not possible?

 Determination of "reasonable period of time" for implementation:



- proposed by Member and approved by DSB, or
- mutually agreed by the parties, or
- determined through arbitration: "guideline for the arbitrator": 15 months from the date of adoption

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Complied or not?

• Surveillance by the DSB: Status reports on implementation



 If Member fails to bring measure into conformity within reasonable period of time, possibility of temporary measures: compensation or "suspension of concessions" (retaliation)

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Complied or not?

- Disagreement? (Art. 21.5)
 - "recourse to these dispute settlement procedures"
 - Back to original Panel
 - 90 days to circulate report
 - Possibility to appeal



Dispute Settlement in the WTO: No compliance?

• Compensation:

voluntarynegotiated



– compatible with WTO obligations

 If no compensation agreed within 20 days after expiry of reasonable period of time.... Dispute settlement in the WTO: No compensation?

- Suspension of concessions:
 - same sector/other sector/other agreement
 - level of suspension: "equivalent to the level of nullification or impairment" (Art. 22.4 DSU)
 - authorization to suspend: within 30 days of expiry of reasonable period of time
 - arbitration on level of suspension or principles of Art. 22.3

Dispute Settlement in the WTO: Developing countries

- Reference to 1966 decision
- Specific provisions: e.g. composition of panels (Art. 8.10 DSU), consultations (Art. 4. 10 DSU), panels procedures (Art. 12. 10 and 12.11 DSU)
- Least -developed countries (Art. 24 DSU): particular consideration to special situation, restraint in asking for compensation and seeking to suspend concessions
- Legal assistance (Art. 27.2 DSU)

Trends in the Use of the Dispute Settlement Mechanism



Conclusion



- Settlement of Disputes
- A generally efficient system

 Success (number of complaints) problems
 Comparison to GATT 1947, international law
- Contribution to WTO Law – "Judicialization" - pro & contra
- Prospects of the Reform
- Discussion

Information/Resources

- Legal Texts (agreements etc.): <u>http://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/legal_e.htm</u>
- Panel and Appellate Body Reports: <u>http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/distabase_e.htm</u> <u>http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/gt47ds_e.htm</u>
- Official WTO Documents:

http://docsonline.wto.org/gen_home.asp

- Advisory Centre on WTO Law: http://www.acwl.ch
- Register for news and information http://www.wto.org (at very top of the page, click "register")

Reform of the Dispute Settlement Understanding

- Doha Declaration, November 2001
- Ministers agreed on negotiations to improve and clarify the DSU
- Based on "work done thus far as well as additional proposals"
- Negotiations to be concluded by May 2003

DSU Reform: "Sequencing" and "Streamlining"

- Suspension of concessions only after a multilateral determination of non-compliance
- Right of appeal in Article 21.5 proceedings should consultations be required at this stage?
- Proposals for saving time in the dispute settlement proceedings:
 - one DSB meeting to establish panel
 - reduce time required for consultations
 - pros and cons of "streamlining"

DSU Reform: Transparency versus Confidentiality

• Enhancing third party rights "active" versus "passive" participation receive all submissions and be present at all meetings

• External transparency opening panel proceedings to the public *amicus curiae* briefs

public dissemination of parties' submissions

DSU Reform: Panels

• Permanent versus ad hoc panelists

- cost concerns
- impartiality
- case-specific expertise

DSU Reform: Appellate Body

• Increasing the number of Appellate Body Members

Remand authority

• Part-time/full-time

DSU Reform: Implementation

- Monetary compensation as an alternative to retaliation?
- Ensuring the actual level of retaliation is consistent with the level of retaliation approved by the DSB
- Carousel retaliation