

Facilitating Interconnection in Hong Kong

Anthony S K Wong Director-General of Telecommunications of Hong Kong 6 August 2002



Interconnection in Hong Kong





Background

Hong Kong a competitive market
Interconnection is fundamental
to promote effective competition
to protect consumers' interest





Good Practice of Interconnection



Good Practice

Need to formulate

- Legal framework
- Approach towards interconnection

In order to ensure

 certainty, consistency, effective and efficient implementation of interconnection



Legal Framework (1)

Telecommunications Ordinance

 appoints a public officer to be the Telecommunications Authority (TA) to regulate the telecommunications industry
 defines the powers and duties of the TA



Legal Framework (2)

 On interconnection (Section 36A of the Telecommunications Ordinance)

- power granted to the TA to make determination
- on the terms and conditions of interconnection
- upon the request of a party or
- in the absence of a request, in the interest of the public



Legal Framework (3)

On interconnection (Section 36A)
 power granted to the TA to publish all or any part of an interconnection agreement
 if in the interest of the public
 after consideration of the representations made by the parties to the agreement



Approach towards Interconnection

Light-handed policy

Guidelines on interconnection

Intervention by determination

Transparency



Light-handed policy

- operators encouraged to reach commercial agreements through negotiation
- guidelines issued by the TA to set out the basic principles on interconnection
- intervention by the TA if no commercial agreements reached despite negotiation



Guidelines on interconnection

in the form of a series of TA statements
 providing guidance on matters like
 interconnection configurations (Type I and Type II interconnection)
 broadband interconnection
 carrier-to-carrier relationship
 charging principles
 TA statements issued and updated after industry consultations



Type I Interconnection -

Interconnection between network gateways





Type I interconnection

- Gateways can be toll exchanges, tandem exchanges, local exchanges or dedicated interconnect gateways
- A point of interconnection (POI) is a notional point in the mid-point of the link interconnecting the gateways of two networks
- Interconnection should be made upon the request of any network operator

Type II Interconnection -Unbundling of local loop







Type II interconnection

- Interconnection of Network 2 to Network 1 is possible at any of the points A, B or C
- Interconnection is only permissible upon the request of the customer at point D to become a direct customer of Network 2
- After interconnection, the customer at point D becomes a direct access customer of Network
 2
- After interconnection, the operator of Network
 1 continues to own, maintain and support the
 local loop



Broadband interconnection

➡TA statement in November 2000

- \rightarrow 144 kbps or above
- Type II interconnection mandated at any technically feasible points along the local loops of local wireline-based fixed networks

➡Two options:

- full capacity available to interconnecting carrier
- "line sharing" between narrowband telephony service and broadband service



Carrier-to-carrier relationship

equal rights and obligations

- principle of cost causalty carrier who causes the cost to be incurred pays
- principle of benefiting party carrier who benefits from the interconnect service pays
- principle of any-to-any
- non-discrimination
- unbundling carrier entitled to services on an unbundled basis
- exchange of information



Charging principles (1)

Section 36A

- Charges based on the relevant reasonable costs attributable to interconnection
- TA may select from among alternative costing methods what he considers to be a fair and reasonable costing method
- Long Run Average Incremental Cost (LRAIC)
 - → carrier-to-carrier interconnection
 - ⇒review completed in March 2002



Charging principles (2)

→LRAIC

- difference in the carrier's total costs with and without the services or facilities supplied, divided by the total output of the services or facilities
- based on the incremental cost of the "entire conveyance service", ie including the shared costs common to all the service elements of the "entire conveyance service", but excluding shared costs common to the conveyance service and other services (eg access services)
 correct pricing signals on "build or buy"



Intervention by Determination (1)

where a party seeks TA's determination
where it is in the interest of the public to intervene

the TA recently initiates a determination on the level of charges and terms and conditions for International Call Forwarding Services

terms and conditions determined by TA be of essence of agreement between parties

 overriding a different intention in agreement



Intervention by Determination (2)

- Criteria to meet before intervention (section 36A(10))
 - Government's policy objectives for telecommunications industry
 - consumer interests
 - encouraging efficient investment in telecommunications infrastructure
 - the nature and extent of competition among the parties
 - other appropriate considerations such as the course of negotiation between the parties



Intervention by Determination (3)

The terms and conditions determined by the TA may cover \Rightarrow level and method of calculating charges ⇒ points of interconnection \Rightarrow technical standards \Rightarrow supply of any element of a telecommunications network, system or installation ⇒ supply of ancillary service ⇒ supply of information necessary for efficient planning and handling of services through interconnection

⇒ sharing of facilities



Intervention by Determination (4)

 reliance on the principles set out in the TA statements on interconnection to make determination

certainty, consistency, transparency



Intervention by Determination (5)

 alternative to intervention by determination - mediation
 Success of mediation depending on the willingness of the concerned parties to negotiate in good faith through the TA acting as the mediator



Transparency (1)

- TA statements on interconnection principles
- Guidelines on procedures for conducting determination proceedings
- Publication of progress of proceedings
- Publication of determinations made
- Publication of interconnection agreements made with dominant operator



Transparency (2)

Procedures for conducting determination proceedings

to set target timeline for completion of determination

to ensure that the parties are given reasonable opportunities to make representations before a determination is made



Transparency (3)

Publication of the progress of proceedings
 [12] cases currently handled by the TA
 Publication of the names of parties, subject matter, and progress of the proceedings
 Covering Type I interconnection, narrowband Type II interconnection, broadband Type II interconnection between TV systems



Transparency (4)

Publication of determinations made by the TA Publication of the terms and conditions

 publication of the terms and conditions determined by the TA
 publication of the analysis of the case
 useful benchmarks



Transparency (5)

Interconnection agreements made with dominant operator

- publication of full terms of agreements without revealing the identity of the other parties to the agreements
- providing benchmark references on the terms and conditions of interconnection
- concerned parties given opportunities to make representations before publication





Lessons Learned





The need of resources

The observance of due process



Resources (1)

Need of expertise

- complex technical, economic, financial and legal issues
- great implications on the success (or failure) in promoting competition and ensuring consumers' uninhibited enjoyment of communications and choice of services

operators' interest at stake



Resources (2)

Need of expertise

 of fundamental importance that regulator is assisted by experts of different disciplines to help him make informed judgments on interconnection issues

telecom engineers, competition experts, lawyers, economists, accountants etc



Due Process (1)

 Justice must not only be done but must seen to be done

 Regulator faces reduced risk of challenges of procedural irregularity if due process is observed

 Regulator establishes credibility and authority



Due Process (2)

• How to implement?

- A party that may be affected by a decision to be made by the regulator should be given the opportunity to make representations
- The representations made by an affected party should be properly considered before a decision is made
- The regulator gives reasons for his decision



Concluding Remarks

The art of balancing between
 the exercise of regulator's power of intervention (if such power is granted by law)

letting the industry have a free hand in establishing its own rules which it considers are most suitable for the market





Thank You