The Radio and Telecommunications Terminal Equipment Directive (1999/5/EC) Addressing a globalising sector

Mark Bogers
European Commission
DG ENTERPRISE

Wetstraat 200, B-1049 Brussels SC 15 3/25 Tel: +32 2 2968183 Fax: +32 2 2994157

e-mail: mark.bogers@.cec.eu.int



- - Introduction
 - The objectives of EU policy
 - The R&TTE market in the EU
 - The old equipment regulation regimes
 - The R&TTE Directive
 - **Philosophy**
 - **Provisions**
 - **Implementation**
 - To MRA or not to MRA?
 - Conclusions



• The R&TTE sector is rapidly globalising

- mobile communications: GSM, IMT-2000
- Short range radio devices: IEEE 802.11, Bluetooth
- Wired communication: xDSL, modem technologies

• Globalisation forces regulatory reform:

- Wealth of technical regulation around the world hampers trade
 - Diverging administrative provisions
 - Diverging technical requirements
 - Diverging conformity assessment procedures
- Regulators need to address non-tariff barriers
- Rethink the proportionality of existing regimes

- The EU has a lot of experience to share
 - Single market forced the EU to resolve internal barriers
 - Started in 1986 to address R&TTE sector
 - 1986: exchange of test reports (86/361/EEC)
 - 1991: Mutual Recognition of approvals (91/263/EEC)
 - 1999: Deregulation: R&TTE Directive (1999/5/EC)
 - Our conclusions:
 - The market players are the prime responsible: build your legal system on this
 - Rely on horizontal liability and consumer protection
 - Current a priori type approval regimes are an overkill to manage the risks caused by R&TTE products
 - MRAs 2nd best: deregulate first, cost/benefit not always clear

- General objectives of EU R&TTE equipment/telecomm policy:
 - protect safety of users from any dangers posed by R&TTE products
 - Penable ubiquitous low-cost telecommunications services
 - remove barriers to trade to enable economies of scale
 - avoid disturbances to functioning of other equipment
 - ensure that the radio spectrum is effectively used
- Different tools to achieve objective
 - competition and liberalisation
 - horizontal consumer protection and liability regulations
 - **voluntary standardisation: ETSI**
 - harmonisation of frequency allocations
 - harmonisation of equipment regulations
 - harmonisation of licensing conditions
- Objective of R&TTE Directive: harmonisation of equipment regulations and (partially) licensing conditions

- R&TTE equipment: 58 bEURO/year in the EU in 1998
 - Short Range Radio: car-door openers
 - Broadcast transmitters
 - Wire equipment: simple telephones but also cable modems etc.
- Highly fragmented
 - > 1000 national regulations, around 30 harmonised EU regulations
 - Fragmentation of spectrum
- Market access situation dissatisfactory
 - Approval is a substantial cost factor (delays to market, administrative costs, testing costs)
 - market access rules are not transparent
 - No benefit from economies of scale: disadvantage for EU SMEs
- THEREFORE: need to act

- Mix of national and EU approval regimes
 - EU: Common Technical Regulations rendering certain standards mandatory (only 30 out of >1000 ETSI standards)
 - Maintenance of national type approval regimes where no CTR (sometimes also based on ETSI standards) and for non-terminal radio equipment

Stations Satellite regulations DA nationa regulations regulations regulations 91/263/EEC) narmonised 93/97/EEC egulations egulations egulations nationa nationa nationa nationa nationa etc. Earth

Electromagnetic compatibility (89/336/EEC)

Electrical safety requirements (73/23/EEC)

- No free movement unless a so-called Common Technical Regulation (CTR) adopted
- A priori market access controls (classical type approval)
- requirements: besides user safety and EMC extensive network protection, extensive requirements for certain product classes (e.g. maritime safety equipment)
- **Experience:**
 - Single market created for certain products: GSM, DECT, ERMES, ISDN
 - Slow in removing barriers and addressing new products: >2 years
 - Many product types not covered by EU regime (non-TTE radio), voluntary agreements in ERC with patchy implementation
 - Too much red tape in conformity assessment, procedures too heavy

- Scope: terminal equipment + all radio equipment (harmonised and non-harmonised frequency bands) with some minor exceptions
- No further national approval regulations
 - **but remember: the Directive will NOT harmonise spectrum use!**
- Community principles applied: free movement unless a MS has good reasons to bar products (notably radio)
- New approach Directive: Relies on voluntary standards
 - requirements are legal, not technical
 - technical translation of requirements delegated to the market through ETSI
- Safeguards for protecting spectrum

- No a priori market access controls on R&TTE products
 - market surveillance to deal with incompliant products
 - market self regulation
 - no accreditation of test houses required!
- Redefinition of role of equipment regulation in addressing the public interest
 - Less protection for networks
 - No mandatory standards, leave technical work to the market players
 - **Obligation on operators to publish their interfaces**
 - Liability for products and consumer protection laws deterrent
 - Relies on market surveillance



DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE ENTREPRISES

- Which requirements does a product need to meet?
 - **Essential requirements of the Directive:**
 - Electrical Safety and health (as in Low Voltage Directive, 73/23/EEC),
 - EMC (as in EMC Directive, 89/336/EEC)
 - Spectrum use (effective use so as to avoid harmful interference),
 - possibility to define some additional public interest requirements:
 - End-to-end interworking
 - No network harm
 - privacy protection
 - avoidance fraud
 - access emergency services:
 - -Decisions on maritime equipment, inland waterways and avalanche beacons
 - Features for the disabled
 - Needs to operate properly in nationally defined radio spectrum



• What changes?

R&TTE Directive (1999/5/EC)



BE DA DE EL ES FR ΙE LU NL SF SV UK IS CH CZ HU

(LVD+EMC Directive conformity assessment procedures can continue to be used)

• How to meet radio requirements?

- Member States have to publish the rules for accessing the spectrum (Art.4.1). High level description of *intended* transmissions:
 - frequency band, transmission power, channel spacing etc.
- R&TTE Essential requirements to ensure that users of other bands are not disturbed (non-intended transmissions):
 - spurious emissions, out of band transmission etc.
- Harmonised standards will give presumption of conformity with the essential requirements
- Equipment also need to abide by the national frequency plan

• Radio harmonised standards as of 8/4/2000 (inherited from current regime):

DECT Access
DECT Access
TFTS
LMES in 1,5/1,6 GHz bands
Land Mobile Earth Stations Ku-band
VSAT Ku-band
Satellite News Gathering Ku-band
TETRA Emergency
S-PCN 1.6/2.4 GHz
S-PCN 1.9/2.1 GHz
VSAT C-band
low data rate LMES in 1.5/1.6 GHz band
GSM Phase II/DCS 1800 access (ex TBR19+ex TBR31)
GSM High Speed Circuit Switched Data
GSM ASCI
R-GSM



TBR007 (Ed2) ERMES

TBR010 (Ed2) DECT Telephony

TBR011 DECT Public Access Profile

TBR020 (Ed2) GSM Phase II Telephony

TBR022 DECT generic access profile

TBR032 (Ed2) DCS1800 Telephony

TBR039 DECT/GSM interworking

TBR040 DECT/ISDN interworking



- How do I know how I meet requirements for wired equipment?
 - **Level of regulation will be reduced:**
 - no physical harm to the network or disturbances
 - no further telecommunication specific requirements
 - Operators have to publish the characteristics of their interfaces (Article 4.2), in their own interest to be complete, so that products don't cause problems



DIRECTION GENERALE ENTREPRISE

• Harmonised standards under current regime not further relevant as of 8/4/2000:

X.21

[•] CTR002 (Ed2) X.25

[•] CTR014 (Am1) ONP 64 kbit/s digital unstructured leased line

•	CTR015	ONP two-wire analogue leased line	25
	CINUIS	Or trib which analogue reased him	\sim 0

•	CTR024	34 Mbit/s digital structured and unstructured leased lines

[•] CTR025 140 Mbit/s digital structured and unstructured leased lines

- CTR033 ISDN basic access packet mode
- CTR034 ISDN primary rate packet mode
- I-CTR037 PSTN Voice access
- CTR038 PSTN Voice

[•] CTR003 (Am1) ISDN Basic Rate Access

[•] CTR004 (Am1) ISDN Primary Rate Access

[•] CTR008 ISDN Telephony

CTR008 (Ed2)
 ISDN Telephony

[•] CTR012 (Am1) ONP 2048 kbit/s unstructured leased lines

[•] CTR013 ONP 2048 kbit/s structured leased lines

DIRECTION GENERALE ENTREPRISE

• Does a product need to be tested?

- Manufacturer takes full responsibility and should test to reinsure himself
- Technical file to be kept at the disposal of surveillance authorities!
- Annex II: Manufacturers declaration
 - wired equipment and receive-only radio equipment
- Annex III: Annex II+prescribed essential radio tests
 - transmitting equipment complying with harmonised standards
 - tests either from notified body or from harmonised standard
- Annex IV:Annex III+Notified Body opinion
 - wired equipment and receive-only radio equipment (voluntary)
 - transmitting equipment complying with harmonised standards (voluntary)
 - transmitting equipment not complying with harmonised standards
- Full Quality Assurance
- Possibility to use LVD and EMC procedures

- Does a product need to be approved by the authorities before being placed on the market?
 - Type approval will disappear and no administrative approval by the authorities is necessary anymore
 - Manufacturers need however to notify their intention to place on the market radio products, which don't operate in national spectrum (majority interpretation of article 6.4) 4 weeks before placing on the market
 - Certain countries will require all radio equipment operating in nonharmonised bands to be notified
 - MS may go and test product in 4 week period as part of market surveillance

• How should a product be marked?

- Article 4.1: Equivalence between interfaces and definition of equipment classes. Current application: 2 main classes subdivided in subclasses.
 - Class 1: equipment, which can freely move and be switched on in the Community (wired equipment, GSM, Receive-only equipment, etc.)
 - Class 2: equipment, for which this is not the case (transmitters, which are to be licensed)
- Marking: simple marking scheme agreed between Member States:
 - CE mark only for class 1 equipment
 - CE mark + for class 2 equipment

• This Directive is all about Transparency!

- Article 4.1: Member States to be transparent on rules to get access to spectrum (obligation to notify interface regulations)
- Article 4.2: Telecommunication Operators obliged to publish the interfaces of their interfaces
- Article 6.3: Manufacturers to inform users of intended use and geographic limitations of use
- Article 6.4: Manufacturers to inform spectrum authorities about intention to place equipment on the market operating in non-harmonised bands.

• Spectrum doesn't risk to be polluted:

- Directive handles problems caused by non-harmonisation of spectrum through safeguards:
 - market forces: it doesn't make sense to sell equipment, where it cannot be used. Actively marketing equipment, which cannot be used is an offence (misleading users, promotion of illegal use);
 - Obligation for manufacturer to indicate on the packaging and in the manual, where the equipment is intended to be used (Art. 6.3);
 - Information on equipment, marketed in a Member State, but not for use there to spectrum authorities (Art. 6.4)
 - Conformity assessment procedures (Art.10)
 - Control of usage through license to use equipment (Art. 7.2)
 - Transparency on access to spectrum (Art. 4.1)
 - Ultimately: possibility to bar products from the market (Art. 9.5)

- Member States to implement by 7/4/2000
 - Delays for various reasons, mainly procedural
 - Member States apply regardless of implementation
- Non-implementation of R&TTE Directive will however lead to problems:
 - > Implementation prerequisite for proper functioning
 - ► Big Bang: no type approvals under existing regime after 8/4/2000
 - No legal basis for:
 - notifying publication of regulated radio interfaces
 - notifying conformity assessment bodies



• Directive needs to land:

- a good set of standards supporting it will take time
- Conversion of old approval regulations into interface regulations takes time
- Culture change takes time
- What to do in case of non-implementation
 - Manufacturer can use the Directive
 - **Declare compliance to old approval specification**
 - Use tests of old approval specification for spectrum tests
- Situation looks however good: market players and regulators are working since 1,5 years



TCAM, the Committee met 6 times

- TCAM 1 (April 99): Adopted Rules of Procedures and many issues raised
- TCAM 2 (July 99): In depth discussions and agreement on guidelines for the publication of network interface
- TCAM 3 (October 99): Resolution of a large number of interpretation issues
- TCAM 4 (December 99): Standardisation issues + formal decision on equipment classification and marking
- TCAM 5 (March 2000): remaining decisions required for implementation: inland waterway, maritime
- TCAM 6 (September 2000): decision on avalanche beacons





DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE ENTREPRISES

Consultative structure

TCAM (formal committee)
General Policy Issues and advice to the Commission

Equipment classification subgroup

ADMINISTRATIVE COOPERATION

Market surveillance

CENELEC / ETSI

ERC TG2, WG RR ERC FM SRD MG

R&TTE Compliance association



- Conformity assessment is substantially deregulated in the EU
- Rules apply equally to EU and non-EU manufacturers and testing industry
- No accreditation required of non-EU test houses as responsibility for conformity assessment fully with the manufacturer
- Therefore Polish certification industry can freely provide their services NOW
- R&TTE expanding into CEECs: CZ, HU, Slovenia, Slovakia, EE are implementing
- Interested to learn how Poland intends to progress in this area

• Directive will have a fundamental impact:

- Manufacturers: less red tape but more obligations to communicate information to users and spectrum authorities. Have to act in a responsible fashion (self-regulation requires discipline)
- Test houses: less legal work, to concentrate on voluntary (i.e. notably interoperability) testing and advising industry
- Notified Bodies (currently issuing type approval): Very little involvement left (some for radio)
- Public authorities: to be much more transparent on the rules to access spectrum, less an industrial policy tool
- Surveillance authorities: increased role, key to success of the Directive
- Operators: Less protection from regulation, they need to publish interfaces
- ETSI: no obligatory standards for regulatory use but thinner voluntary standards, main work remains outside regulatory domain

- The R&TTE Directive is a major deregulatory step;
- Europe will have a lighter regime than its main trading partners;
- Let's discuss how we can further address market access problems and please reflect on your own legal regime
- It will not harmonise spectrum but will put pressure on spectrum harmonisation;
- It requires however a restructuring of the regulatory bodies. We are setting an efficient surveillance infrastructure;
- Public authorities will leave more to the market: markets should not fail to take their responsibility;



Information on the web

http://europa.eu.int/comm/enterprise/rtte/
(replaces http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/dg3/tcam/home)

For questions and suggestions: mark.bogers@cec.eu.int or rtte@cec.eu.int

- The R&TTE Directive is a major deregulatory step;
- Europe will have a lighter regime than its main trading partners;
- Let's discuss how we can further address market access problems and please reflect on your own legal regime
- It will not harmonise spectrum but will put pressure on spectrum harmonisation;
- It requires however a restructuring of the regulatory bodies. We are setting an efficient surveillance infrastructure;
- Public authorities will leave more to the market: markets should not fail to take their responsibility;