

The DVB-T experience in the Netherlands

ITU-seminar Kiev, 13 - 15 November 2000



Content

The DVB-T experience in the Netherlands



- Television market
- Digital television offer
- Roll out
- Network
- EMC

NOZEMA



Television market (2)

NOZEM

Consequences of high cable penetration

- Almost no roof-top antennas
- High number of services (up to 30)
- Common to pay monthly subscription for delivery of services



DVB-T : ≫ indoor reception by means of simple antenna ≫ at least 20 programmes







The roll-out (1)

Introduction has been delayed

- Government policy
 - considerable political debate on licensing mechanism: auction or "beauty contest"
- International frequency co-ordination
 - negotiations with neighbouring countries started in 1996
- Technical developments
 - sometimes better to wait for new developments
- Licenses for construction and modifications of masts

The roll-out (2)



Greater Amsterdam 20% population May 2001 5 multiplexes Randstad 50% population Q4 2001 5 multiplexes Whole country After analogue switch off (2003 - 2010)<u>6</u> multiplexes NOZEMA

The network (1)

Requirements

Technical:

Commercial:

 >Indoor reception
>Package of 20 programmes Transmitter sites in or near urban areas to achieve good indoor reception

- As far as possible use of existing sites (television, FM, or telecom)
- More or less equal coverage of the five(later six) multiplexes
- A regional structure of the multiplex for the public services



The network (2)

NOZEMA

- >60 sites
 - now 10 sites with ERP > 1kW
- Small and medium size SFNs
- ERP 1 to 10 kW
- 64QAM 2/3
- Net bit rate 19.9 Mbit/s
- Statistical multiplexing

Net- work	SFNs	Tx/ SFN	Total tx
1	2	3: 11	14
2	3	5: 3: 6	14
3	2	1: 13	14
4	2	1: 13	14
5	2	1: 13	14

SFNs in Randstad area



The network (4)

NOZEMA

Some results

- Field strength highest near a window (even if window is not facing the transmitter)
- Moving people having minor impact on reception
- "Blocks" in picture (due to noise or interference) more harmful than reduction of bitrate
- Good indoor reception in all buildings difficult to achieve



Tests



EMC (1)

The facts

- In cable up to 862 MHz
- Possibility of interference between off-air and cable channels
- Cable system itself ok
- Domestic installations often poor quality
- Connectors weakest part
- Solution in the past: don't use transmitted frequencies in cable!

The problem now

- With DVB-T more frequencies off-air
- Cable systems used to maximum capacity
- Cable companies not willing to adapt channels
- No legal means for government to enforce channel usage in cable
 - Result: major problem

EMC (2)

Investigations

- 20 to 30% of cable households may have interference on one or more channels
- if poor connectors are replaced by good ones only 0,02% may have interference



Conclusion

The DVB-T experience in the Netherlands:

- Indoor reception is a must
- Costs much less than cable
- More delay than originally expected
- Dense network structure and use of SFN
- Difficult to implement nation-wide as long as analogue television is in operation
- Connectors of good quality in domestic cable installations are essential



