



Seminar on Costs & Tariffs for the TAF Group Member Countries

Niamey (Niger), 23-25 April 2001





Use of Cost Models in Price Regulation

Case of Price Cap regulation

Pape Gorgui TOURE

Price Regulation

- Controls prices directly via "price cap"

- Stimulates efficiency, promotes transition to competition
- Consumers' gain benefits of efficiency
- Promotes investment, universal service goals
- Incentive opportunity for improved earnings

Price Regulation Experience

- Residence line charges have remained level as telco efficiency gains have largely offset business cost rises
- Per minute calling charges have fallen steadily, steady progress made in rate rebalancing, restructuring
- Generally, telco PR earnings are higher as efficiency improves
- Investment has risen and service quality remains high

Price Regulation Concept

- The PR annual pricing rules set the "Price Cap" limits for overall rates. This rule preserves the first share of telco productivity benefit to ratepayers.
- Telco can improve earnings if it can be more efficient than previously under "cost plus" ROR regulation

Price Regulation Plan

- Annual Pricing Formula
- Annual Productivity Improvement Hurdle
- Service Basket Structure (service groups)
- Rate Change Flexibility (rebalancing subsidies)
- Adaptability to Competition (e.g. new services)
- Administrative Streamlining
- Recovery of Exogenous (extraordinary) Cost
- Universal Service and Service Quality safeguards
- New Investment Incentives

Price Regulation Concept

- Productivity refers to how economically the firm manages its productive resources, capital, labor, materials etc..
- A total productivity measure, i.e. % efficiency improvement target for the telco, is used to limit overall price changes in most PR plans.
- Productivity is an economic concept that can be gauged from past financial and operating reports.

Price Regulation Concept

- 'Economies of Scale' (EOS) lead to productivity gains.
- Demand growth raises revenue but EOS causes costs per unit to fall so total cost rises more slowly.
- But cost inflation raises unit and total costs
- The price change limits in the plan recognize the past rate of telco price changes relative to past inflation in economy.

% Productivity Hurdle ('% X')

- Historical Telco productivity is volatile
- The state of the economy directly affects productivity
- Historical productivity studies can be contentious 'black box' exercises
- Historical study results are only a starting point for an equitable productivity (% efficiency improvement) target.
- "Unreasonable" productivity targets destroy incentives and deter new investment

Price Regulation Rules Affect the Cost of Capital

- McKinsey Consulting study indicates unduly burdensome or inflexible rules can reduce the "market value" of the telco from investors' viewpoint.
- "Unattractive" plan can raise cost of equity capital by 20% or more
- Potential plan negatives:
 - Unreasonable efficiency improvement target (%X)
 - Unreasonable constraints on rate flexibility for incumbent vis a vis new competitors
 - Unreasonable burdens re subsidies, other service obligations

PRICE REGULATION

COMMON EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENTS

- US: Annual 5% employment reductions for several years via:
 - attrition, early retirement incentives, job restructuring, department consolidations

*work outsourcing, e.g. cable installation

- automation, e.g. billing systems, self-service T-tone menus
- from 45 employees per 10K access lines in 1990 to 26 now
- UK: Employee levels declined steadily from 230K in 1984, only rising again to be at 217K recently

Price Regulation

• OTHER EFFICIENCY INITIATIVES

- Performance bonuses, sales commissions, variable salary component based on achieving telco performance goals
- *broader merit-based salary bands*
- assessment, training, retraining programs
- amnesty/recapture for prior disconnects but with restrictions such as toll blocking
- spread out payments for initial non-recurring charges
- dial-up 24 hour 'self serve' customer account and order acceptance
- external performance benchmarking



Cost Models and PR



- A cost model can support price regulation in the following areas:
 - identify inefficient costs
 - simulate costs reductions effects
 - simulate the effect of future traffic growth
- the combination of those allow easy calculation of a reasonable efficiency improvement target %X as defined below

$$\sum_{i} P_{i}^{n} T_{i}^{n-1} = (1 + RPI_{n-1} - X) * \sum P_{i}^{n-1} T_{i}^{n-1}$$

where: P_i^n =price of service n° i in year n T_i^n =traffic of service n° i in year n RPI = Price Reduction Index



Cost Model and USO



Where a regulator opposes immediate tariff rebalancing, cost model will provide a measure of transferred charges (access deficit) and how it affects the efficiency improvement target:

 additional constraints on the most important services basket (urban and interurban)

 charges transferred to highly competitive (international), and sensitive (interconnection) services baskets



TAF Model and efficiency



The TAF cost Model deals with inefficient costs as defined below:

$$K' = \max(0; \Delta K - K_u[(1 + t)^N - 1])$$

where:

- *K* ' = *the inefficient capacity;*
- DK = the unused capacity;

 $K_u = the \ capacity \ in \ use;$

- *t* = *the compound annual growth rate of the capacity in use*
- *N* = *the time needed to add new capacity*





- The inefficient cost in the TAF model are not allocated to the services provided to other operators (national and international);
- in addition, the TAF model allows any simulation on the following operator expenses (OPEX):
 - intermediate consumption;
 - taxes & levies;
 - salaries & welfare
 - amortisation/depreciation ;
 - provisions
- the model also allows simulations on capital expenses (CAPEX) through:
 - expected return on invested capital;
 - weighted average loans interest rate.
- Any of cost those elements can be optimised in order to strengthen efficiency.



Elasticity



- When elaborating a long term business plan with efficiency constraints, using the TAF model tariffs, one must take into consideration the Price/Demand elasticity of the various services directly available to the public.
- Elasticity has an effect on traffic volume and thus in unit cost of traffic, thus on %X determination.
- Elasticity effect should be added to the natural growth trend of traffic and not substitute it;
- its determination depends on the overall environment of a given market .



Growth rate, elasticity and efficiency



- The combination of the natural growth of users number (especially in low teledensity countries) and elasticity should lead to a growing traffic volume, thus an improved economy of scale.
- Improvement of economy of scale are taken into consideration in the TAF model through:
 - geographical correction coefficient;
 - per service traffic volume identification



%X determination



Cost Models and Price Regulation

The efficiency improvement target is calculated as follows:

$$X = 1 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i} P_{i}^{j} T_{i}^{j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} (RPI_{j-1} \sum_{i} P_{i}^{j-1} T_{i}^{j-1})}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i} P_{i}^{j-1} T_{i}^{j-1}}$$

Where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} RPI_{j\text{-}1} = & Average \ Reduction \ Price \ Index \\ m = & Price \ Cap \ validity \ delay \ in \ years \\ P_i^n & = & price \ of \ service \ n^\circ \ i \ in \ year \ n \\ T_i^n & = & traffic \ of \ service \ n^\circ \ i \ in \ year \ n \end{array}$

•Where price caps have to be set for different service baskets, only the services in a given basket will be considered.

•Subsidised services should not be subject to price cap regulation as they are not cost based.





- The RPI is different from and does not conflict with the currency loss of purchasing power defined in the TAF model;
- its yearly future values estimation should derive from the official national economy projections.
- Some cost are exogenous to an operator they must be recovered in total and are not included in the price regulation process; (ex.: outpayments of interconnection fees).

