Price Regulation in Fully and Partly Liberalized Markets

by

Werner Neu

Regional Seminar on New Trends in Tariff Policies for ECE Countries & CIS Bratislava, Slovakia 18–20 September 2001

Contents

- Objectives of price regulation
- Areas of application
- Different approaches
- Discretionary price setting
- Price setting for particular services based on costs
- Price cap regulation
- Particular issues of price regulation
- Summary

Objectives of price regulation

- Financing objectives
 - Regulated operators must be permitted to earn sufficient revenue
- Efficiency objectives
 - Allocative efficiency
 - Productive efficiency
 - Dynamic efficiency
- Equity objectives
 - Operator-consumer equity
 - Consumer-consumer equity
 - Operator-operator equity

Areas of application

- Prices for end-users
 - Approach and intensity of regulation depends on degree of effective competition
- Prices for intermediate products (interconnection, leased lines)
 - Regulation will be necessary for quite some time to come

Different approaches

- Discretionary price setting
 - Typical for former monopoly situation
- Price setting for particular services based on costs (rate of return regulation)
 - Currently widely used for prices of interconnection services (also in fully liberalized markets)
- Price cap regulation
 - Currently widely used for prices of end-user services

Discretionary price setting

- Disadvantages:
 - Interventionist
 - Lack of economic criteria
 - Inefficient price structures
 - Risk that financial objective for operator is not fulfilled

Discretionary price setting

- Typical results:
 - Low access and local calling prices
 - High prices for long-distance calls
 - Very high prices for international calls
- As result of low access prices, no incentives for operator to roll out network
 - Long waiting lists
 - low quality in access network

- In contrast to discretionary price setting, explicitly based on economic criteria
- Used to be dominant mode of regulation in USA
- Currently mostly applied to new services, in particular interconnection services
- High demands in terms of data requirements
- Top-down approach on the basis of data provided by regulated firm
- Bottom-up approach on the basis of analytical cost models

- Issues related to top-down approach:
 - Departs from cost data of operator
 - Question whether cost data reflect an efficient operation
 - Cost elements not caused by regulated service need to be eliminated

- Issues related to bottom-up approach:
 - Relevant technology must be known so that it can be implemented in a cost model
 - Parameters for cost modelling (prices of investment goods, average usage patterns, etc.) must be known
 - While feasible for cost of investment, modelling of cost of operations still problematic

- Disadvantages if applied to end-user services on a broad basis (makes no sense if there is competition):
 - Lack of incentive to minimize costs
 - Lack of innovation
 - Lack of pricing flexibility
 - Costly in terms of regulatory and management attention
- Above less of a problem regarding interconnection services

- Goes back to Prof. Littlechild of the UK
- First used to regulate BT's retail prices, starting 1984
- Now most widely used approach to regulate retail prices for end-users
- Was introduced to mitigate disadvantages of regulation based on costs
- Approach to be used before price finding can be entirely left to the market

• Basic price cap formula:

```
allowable price for upcoming period = starting price + I – X
```

```
where I = inflation factor for the period 
<math>X = productivity factor
```

• Example:

```
At end of 2001 price = 100
```

Projected I = 5 and X = 3

- \Rightarrow Allowable price change for 2002 = 2 or
- => Allowable price for 2002 = 102
- In real applications, weighted average over price changes for relevant basket of services
 - Price change of 2 above would equal that weighted average

- Required inputs:
 - Initial prices for services in relevant basket
 - Weights (usually services' shares in turnover
 - Inflation factor)
 - Productivity factor
 - Design of baskets (which services to be included, which services grouped in same basket?)

- Advantages:
 - Incentives for greater efficiency
 - Greater pricing flexibility
 - Reduces regulatory intervention
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not have a mechanism to prevent anticompetitive pricing
 - If values for I and X are wrong, can miss objectives (financing, efficiency, equity) very badly

Particular issues of price regulation

- Rebalancing of prices according to actual costs
- Need for pricing options which make for efficient use of network
- Need for self-select pricing packages which make services affordable for low income earners

Summary

- Discretionary price setting an thing of the past
- Price setting for particular services based on cost necessary for interconnection etc.
- Price cap regulation suitable approach for retail services – as long as competition not strong enough
- Promote tariff structure that enhances efficient use of the network and makes services affordable also for low users